arXiv:1210.0813v1 [math.DG] 2 Oct 2012

THE RICCI FLOW ON MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY

PANAGIOTIS GIANNIOTIS

Abstract. We study the short-time existence and regularity of solutions to a boundary value problem for the Ricci-DeTurck equation on a manifold with boundary. Using this, we prove the short-time existence and uniqueness of the Ricci flow prescribing the mean curvature and conformal class of the boundary, with arbitrary initial data. Finally, we establish that under suitable control of the boundary data the flow exists as long as the ambient curvature and the second fundamental form of the boundary remain bounded.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the deformation for a short period of time of a Riemannian metric g^0 on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary using the Ricci flow

$$\partial_t g = -2\,\mathrm{Ric}(g),$$

which was introduced by Hamilton in [18]. He established the short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions with $g(0) = g^0$ and used it to study three-dimensional manifolds admitting metrics with positive Ricci curvature. Later on, Shi in [24] proved the short time existence of the flow for complete manifolds with uniformly bounded Riemann tensor. Ever since, it has been proven to be a valuable tool in the study of the interaction between geometry and topology, providing a natural geometric deformation of Riemannian manifolds.

A natural question to ask is whether one can deform the geometry of a manifold with boundary using the Ricci flow, and what would be appropriate boundary conditions. The obstacle, as in the case without boundary, is the diffeomorphism invariance of the Ricci tensor which is why the equation is not parabolic. One needs to solve a modified parabolic equation first, as DeTurck did in [13] and then relate its solution to the Ricci flow. In the case of manifolds with boundary though, the challenge is to impose boundary conditions that on the one hand will lead to a parabolic boundary value problem for the modified equation, and at the same time tie well with the geometric character of the Ricci flow.

The first work in this direction was by Y.Shen in [23], where he established a short-time existence result for compact manifolds with umbilic boundary. Moreover, he extended Hamilton's result in [18] to the case of manifolds with totally geodesic boundary. The convex (and umbilic) case was studied later by Cortissoz in [12]. However, one would like to deform more arbitrary metrics than in [23]. To this direction, Pulemotov in [21] proved a short-time existence result for manifolds with boundary of merely constant mean curvature.

More work has been done on the two-dimensional Ricci flow, and the closely related Yamabe flow. Both have been studied under Neumann-type boundary conditions. See for instance the contributions of Brendle in [6], [7], [8], Tong Li in [20] and Cortissoz in [11]. Also, Topping in [27] and later Giesen and Topping in [15] and [16] study the Ricci flow on general incomplete surfaces from a different point of view. They show existence for short time of solutions which become instantaneously complete and show uniqueness in the case the initial surface has negative curvature.

Heuristically, the Ricci flow is closely related to the corresponding “elliptic” problem, the Einstein equations. Boundary value problems for Einstein metrics have been studied for instance by Anderson in [5], Anderson and Khuri in [3] and Schlenker in [22]. In particular, in [5] it is shown that the conformal class and the mean curvature of the boundary give elliptic boundary conditions for the Bianchi-gauged Einstein equation. Notice that in the case of three-dimensional manifolds with boundary, solving such a boundary value problem also gives rise to immersions of the boundary data (conformal class and mean curvature) in the canonical simply connected spaces of constant curvature. We refer the reader to [2] for details on this point of view. A parabolic approach may provide further understanding of these geometric problems.

A solution to the Ricci flow is not expected to be determined uniquely by the mean curvature only, as in [21], which hints that it should be supplemented with additional boundary data. In the following, we study boundary value problems for the Ricci-DeTurck flow and the Ricci flow, under the boundary conditions proposed in [5]. The methods used can also be applied to study boundary value problems for geometric flows related to static metrics in General Relativity (see [3]). However, we won’t pursue this direction here, as we plan to discuss it in a future paper.

Let M^{n+1} be a compact $n+1$ dimensional manifold with boundary ∂M and interior M^o. If g is a smooth Riemannian metric on M we will denote by $\mathcal{H}(g)$ the mean curvature of the boundary and by u^T the part of the tensor u, tangential to the boundary. Moreover, if γ is some Riemannian metric on ∂M, let $[\gamma]$ be its conformal class, namely

$$[\gamma] = \left\{\gamma' = \phi^2\gamma \text{ , for all positive functions } \phi \text{ on } \partial M\right\}.$$

Now, let g^0 be an arbitrary smooth Riemannian metric on M, $\gamma(x,t) \in C^\infty(\partial M \times [0,+\infty))$ a smooth time-dependent family of metrics on ∂M and a function $\eta(x,t) \in C^\infty(\partial M \times [0,+\infty))$. We assume that they satisfy the zeroth order compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(g^0) &= \eta|_{t=0} \\ \left[(g^0)^T\right] &= [\gamma|_{t=0}]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

We choose $p > n+3$, $\epsilon > 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ and $\alpha = 1 - \frac{n+3}{p}$. Define

$$\kappa = \max\left\{||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}, |g^0|_{1+\epsilon}, |(g^0)^{-1}|_0, |\gamma|_{1+\epsilon,\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}, |\eta - \eta_0|_{\epsilon,\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\right\}.$$

Here $W^{2,p}$ and $C^{1+\epsilon}$ are the usual Sobolev and Hölder spaces in M and, for non-integral $l > 0$, $C^{l,\frac{l}{2}}$ are parabolic Hölder spaces in $M \times [0,T]$ with norm $|\cdot|_{l,\frac{l}{2}}$. Precise definitions of the function spaces used can be found in section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M^{n+1}, g^0, \gamma, \eta$ be as above. Consider an arbitrary family of background metrics $\tilde{g} \in C^\infty(M \times [0,+\infty))$ which satisfies in addition the zeroth order compatibility condition*

$$\tilde{g}(0) \ = \ g^0.$$

Then, given $K > 0$ and $\Lambda = \max\left\{\kappa, \sup_t\left\{||\tilde{g}(t) - g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)} + ||\partial_t\tilde{g}(t)||_{L_p(M^o)}\right\}\right\}$, there exists a unique solution $g(t)$ for some short time $T = T(\Lambda, K) > 0$ of the Ricci-DeTurck equation

$$\partial_t g = -2\operatorname{Ric}(g) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}(g,\tilde{g})}g, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{W}(g,\tilde{g})_l = g_{lr} g^{pq}(\Gamma(g)^r_{pq} - \Gamma(\tilde{g}_t)^r_{pq})$, *satisfying on* ∂M *the boundary conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(g,\tilde{g}) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{H}(g) &= \eta \\ \left[g^T\right] &= [\gamma]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

and the estimate $||g - g^0||_{W^{2,1}_p(M_T)} \leq K$. *The solution is* C^∞ *away from the corner* $\partial M \times 0$, *and extends on* $M \times [0,T]$ *as a* $C^{1+\alpha,\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}$ *family of metrics. Moreover, if the data* g^0, γ, η *and* $\tilde{g}$ *satisfy the necessary higher order compatibility conditions (see section 4.2), then* g *is* $C^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}$ *up to* $\partial M \times 0$.

We prove this Theorem in section 4 with a fixed-point argument, following Weidemaier in [28] and applying Solonnikov's work on linear parabolic systems under general boundary conditions in [25]. The main advantage compared to an implicit function theorem approach is that studying the nonlinearities of the equation and the boundary conditions allows us to obtain the uniform control of the existence time. In the discussion in sections 4.2 and 5 we observe that the regularity up to $\partial M \times 0$ requires additional compatibility conditions to be satisfied by the data. Also, the assumption of C^∞ regularity of the data is not necessary, as one can get an existence theorem for $g^0 \in W^{2,p} \cap C^{1,\epsilon}$ and $(\eta,\gamma) \in C^{\epsilon,\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \times C^{1+\epsilon,\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}$. See also remark 4.4.

Theorem 1.1 allows us to prove in section 5 a short-time existence result for the Ricci flow on an arbitrary compact Riemannian manifold with boundary.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* g^0, γ, η *as in Theorem 1.1. There exists a* $T > 0$ *and a smooth family of metrics* $g(t)$ *for* $0 < t \leq T$ *that solves the Ricci flow equation*

$$\partial_t g = -2\,\mathrm{Ric}(g) \tag{1.4}$$

and satisfies on ∂M *the boundary conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(g) &= \eta \\ \left[g^T\right] &= [\gamma]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

In addition, $g(t)$ *converges in the geometric* $C^{1,\alpha}$ *sense (i.e. up to diffeomorphisms that fix the boundary) to* g^0 *and* C^∞ *away from the boundary, as* $t \to 0$.

Moreover, if g^0, γ, η *satisfy the necessary higher order compatibility conditions for the Ricci tensor* Ric *to be in the class* $C^k(\overline{M_T})$ *(see section 5), then*

1. $g(t)$ *converges to* g^0 *in the geometric* $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ *sense.*
2. $g \in C^k(\overline{M_T}) \cap C^\infty(M^o \times [0,T])$, *and there exists a* C^{k+1} *diffeomorphism* ϕ *of* M *which fixes the boundary and is* C^∞ *in the interior such that* $g(0) = \phi^* g^0$. *Also, if* $k \geq 1$, ϕ *is* C^{k+2} *and* $g \in C^{k+1}(\overline{M_T})$.
3. *The Riemann tensor* Rm *is in* $C^k(\overline{M_T})$ *and* $\mathrm{Rm}(g(0)) = \phi^* \mathrm{Rm}(g^0)$.

Also, T *is controlled from below in terms of* κ.

We note that a version of Theorem 1.2 for short time existence of the Ricci flow which satisfies the initial data in the usual sense $g(0) = g^0$ does hold. However, such a solution will generally not be C^∞ smooth up to the boundary even for positive time. This issue is related to the invariance of the equation under diffeomorphisms and is discussed in remark 5.2.

It is well known that incomplete solutions of the Ricci flow are in general not unique. On a manifold with boundary though, the boundary data (1.5) allow us to obtain the following uniqueness result.

Theorem 1.3. *A solution to the boundary value problem (1.4),(1.5) in* $C^3(\overline{M}_T)$ *is uniquely determined by the initial data* g^0 *and the boundary data* $([\gamma], \eta)$*.*

Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 generalize to Theorem 5.1, where η also depends on the metric g^T induced on the boundary by $g(t)$.

Finally, in section 6 we obtain an extension condition for the flow. On closed manifolds, a Ricci flow defined for $t < T$ with uniformly bounded curvature tensor can be extended past time T. On compact manifolds with boundary, we observe that appropriate control of the data $([\gamma], H)$ and uniform bounds on the ambient curvature and the second fundamental form $\mathcal{A}$ of the boundary suffice for the extension of the flow. This is Theorem 1.4 below.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $g(t)$ *be a smooth Ricci flow with boundary data* $([\gamma], \eta)$ *and let* $T < \infty$ *be the maximal time of existence. Assume that* $\gamma(t)$ *are uniformly equivalent, controlled in* $C^{1+\epsilon, \frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}$ *with uniformly bounded scalar curvature and that* η *is controlled in* $C^{\epsilon, \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \cap Lip(\partial M)$*. Then*

$$\sup_{0 \le t < T} \left(\sup_{x \in M} |\operatorname{Rm}(g(t))|_{g(t)} + \sup_{x \in \partial M} |\mathcal{A}(g(t))|_{g(t)} \right) = +\infty.$$

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank his adviser Michael Anderson for suggesting this problem and for valuable discussions and comments.

2. Notation, definitions, background material

Let M^{n+1} be a smooth, compact, $n+1$ dimensional manifold with boundary ∂M, and interior M^o. We will use the notation $M_T = M^o \times (0, T)$, $\partial M_T = \partial M \times (0, T)$.

2.1. **Function Spaces.** We need to define the function spaces we will use. First, fix a smooth Riemannian metric h on M and denote by $\widehat{\nabla}$ its Levi-Civita connection. We also need to fix an open cover $\{U_s\}$ of M, and a collection of charts ϕ_s such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_s : U_s \to B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \qquad & , \text{if } U_s \text{ does not intersect the boundary} \\ \phi_s : U_s \to B(0,1)^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \qquad & , \text{if } U_s \text{ intersects the boundary.} \end{aligned}$$

In the last case assume that $\phi_s|_{\partial M \cap U_s} : \partial M \cap U_s \to V := B^n(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We will use the convention that Greek indices correspond to directions tangent to the boundary, counting from 1 to n. Moreover, ρ_s will be a partition of unity subordinate to that open cover.

Consider any tensor bundle E of rank k over M, with projection map π, equipped with the connection inherited by $\widehat{\nabla}$. The completion of the space of the time dependent $C^\infty(M_T)$ sections of E with respect to the norm

$$||u||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} = ||u||_{L_p(M_T)} + ||\widehat{\nabla} u||_{L_p(M_T)} + ||\widehat{\nabla}^2 u||_{L_p(M_T)} + ||\partial_t u||_{L_p(M_T)}$$

will be denoted by $W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$. Let also $|u|_{L_p^{2,1}(M_T)} = ||\partial_t u||_{L_p(M_T)} + ||\widehat{\nabla}^2 u||_{L_p(M_T)}$.

If τ is a section of E, we will denote by ${}^s\tau^{ijk\dots}_{\mu\nu\dots}$ the coordinates of this tensor with respect to the trivialization based at U_s.

We define the following norm for time dependent L_p sections of $E_{\partial M} = \{v \in E \,|\, \pi \circ v \in \partial M\}$ and for $\lambda = 1 - 1/p$

$$||v||_{W_p^{\lambda, \lambda/2}(\partial M_T)} = ||v||_{L_p(\partial M_T)} + |v|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda, \frac{\lambda}{2}}(\partial M_T)}$$

Here, setting $\hat{\rho}_s = \rho_s \circ \phi_s^{-1}$, we define

$$|v|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\partial M_T)} = \sum_s \max_{i_1,\dots,i_k} |\hat{\rho}_s {}^s v^{i_1,\dots,i_l}{}_{i_{l+1},\dots,i_k}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)}$$

where, for every function $f \in L_p(V_T)$

$$\begin{aligned}
|f|^p_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha,\beta}(V_T)} &= |f|^p_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha,0}(V_T)} + |f|^p_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,\beta}(V_T)} \\
|f|^p_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha,0}(V_T)} &= \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \int_0^{+\infty} h^{-(1+p\alpha)} ||\Delta_{\mu,h} f||^p_{L_p(V_{i,h,T})} dh \\
|f|^p_{\mathcal{L}_p^{0,\beta}(V_T)} &= \int_0^{+\infty} h^{-(1+p\beta)} ||\Delta_{t,h} f||^p_{L_p(V_{T-h})} dh.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mu,h} f(y,t) &= f(y + he_\mu, t) - f(y,t) \\
\Delta_{t,h} f(y,t) &= f(y, t+h) - f(y,t) \\
V_{\mu,h,T} &= \{(y,t) \in V_T | y + he_\mu \in V\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogous spaces exist also in the elliptic setting, see for instance [26].

For $l > 0$ nonintegral, we will denote by $C^{l,l/2}(M \times [0,\tau], E)$ the Banach space of time dependent sections u of E having continuous up to the boundary derivatives $\partial_t^r \widehat{\nabla}^q u$ for all r, q satisfying $2r + q < l$, satisfying appropriate Hölder conditions in the time and space directions. More precisely, the norm is given by

$$|u|_{l,l/2} = \sup_s \max_I |{}^s u_I|_{[l],B(0,1)} + \sup_s \max_I \langle {}^s u_I \rangle_{l,l/2,B(0,1)}$$

where ${}^s u_I$ are the coordinate functions of u in the coordinate system U_s and

$$\begin{aligned}
|f|_{k,B(0,1)} &= \sum_{0 \le 2r+q \le k} ||\partial_t \partial_\alpha f||_\infty \\
\langle f \rangle_{l,l/2,B(0,1)} &= \sum_{2r+q=[l]} \langle \partial_t^r \partial_\alpha f \rangle_{l-[l],x} + \sum_{0<l-2r-q<2} \langle \partial_t^r \partial_\alpha f \rangle_{\frac{l-2r-q}{2},t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, for $0 < \rho < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f \rangle_{\rho,x} &= \sup_{x \ne y,\, t} \frac{|f(x,t) - f(y,t)|}{|x-y|^\rho} \\
\langle f \rangle_{\rho,t} &= \sup_{t \ne t',\, x} \frac{|f(x,t) - f(x,t')|}{|t-t'|^\rho}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will also denote by $|u|_k$ and $\langle u \rangle_{l,l/2}$ the norms

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u \rangle_{l,l/2} &= \sup_s \max_I \langle {}^s u_I \rangle_{l,l/2,B(0,1)} \\
|u|_k &= \sup_s \max_I |{}^s u_I|_{k,B(0,1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any integer $k \ge 0$ we will denote by $C^k(M \times [0,\tau])$ the space of sections with all the derivatives $\partial_t^r \widehat{\nabla}^q u$ for $2r + q \le k$ continuous, equipped with the norm $|\cdot|_k$.

By the definition of $W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)$ it is not hard to see that $C^{\epsilon,\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(\partial M_T)$ embeds in $W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)$, provided that $\epsilon > \lambda$. We will also need the following embedding theorems.

Lemma 2.1. (1) *For* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $u \in W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$, $||\widehat{\nabla} u||_{W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M)} \leq C_1 ||u||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}$.

(2) *If* $\frac{n+3}{2} < p < \infty$ *and* $0 < \alpha < \min(1, 2 - (\frac{n+3}{p}))$, *then*

$$\langle u \rangle_{\alpha,\alpha/2} \leq C_2 \left(\delta^{2-(n+3)/p-\alpha} |u|_{L_p^{2,1}(M_T)} + \delta^{-(n+3)/p-\alpha} ||u||_{L^p(M_T)} \right).$$

(3) *If* $n+3 < p < \infty$ *and* $0 < \alpha \leq 1 - (n+3)/p$, *then*

$$\langle \widehat{\nabla} u \rangle_{\alpha,\alpha/2} \leq C_3 \left(\delta^{1-(n+3)/p-\alpha} |u|_{L_p^{2,1}(M_T)} + \delta^{-(1+(n+3)/p+\alpha)} ||u||_{L^p(M_T)} \right).$$

In the above, the constants do not depent on $T > 0$ *and* $0 < \delta \leq \min(d, T^{1/2})$, *where* d *is a constant depending on the chosen atlas* $\{U_s\}$.

Proof. See Lemma (3.3) at Chapter II of [19] or Lemma (A.1) in [28]. □

From now on let us fix some $p > n+3$ and some $\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{n+3}{p}$. Then, as the previous Lemma implies, the Sobolev space $W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$ embeds in the Hölder space $C^{1+\alpha,\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(\overline{M_T})$. Moreover, we get the following estimates (see Corollary A.2 in [28]).

Lemma 2.2. *For all* $u \in W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$, *with* $u(.,0) \equiv 0$, $n+3 < p < \infty$, $0 < \gamma = (1 - \frac{n+3}{p})/2$ *and all sufficiently small* $T > 0$

(1) $|u|_1 \leq C_4 T^{\gamma} |u|_{L_p^{2,1}(M_T)}$.
(2) $|u|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\beta,\beta/2}(\partial M_T)} \leq C_5 T^{\gamma} |u|_{L_p^{2,1}(M_T)}$, *for all* $\beta \in (0,1)$.

Also, we will be using the following product estimate.

Lemma 2.3. *If* $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha,\beta}(V_T) \cap L^{\infty}(V_T)$ *and* $\hat{\rho} = \rho \circ \phi^{-1}$, *then*

$$|\hat{\rho} f g|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha,\beta}(V_T)} \leq C_6 ||fg||_{\infty} + ||f||_{\infty} |\hat{\rho} g|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha,\beta}(V_T)} + ||g||_{\infty} |\hat{\rho} f|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\alpha,\beta}(V_T)}.$$

2.2. **The mean curvature.**

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M and N the ourward unit normal to ∂M with respect to g. The second fundamental form $\mathcal{A}$ of the boundary is defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{L}_N g \right)^T.$$

The mean curvature of the boundary with respect to the metric g is then given by

$$2\mathcal{H}(g) = \operatorname{tr}_{g^T} \mathcal{L}_N g.$$

2.2.1. *First variation of the mean curvature.*

Lemma 2.4. *If* g_t *is a smooth one-parameter family of metrics, such that* $g_0 = g$, *and* $\partial_t g|_{t=0} \equiv h$, *the variation of the mean curvature of the boundary is given by the formula:*

$$2\mathcal{H}'_g = \operatorname{tr}_{g^T} \nabla_N h + 2\delta_{\partial M} \left(h(N)^T \right) - h(N,N)\mathcal{H}(g).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathcal{H}'_g &= -h^{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{L}_N g)_{\alpha\beta} + \operatorname{tr}_\gamma (\mathcal{L}_N g)' = -2\langle A, h \rangle + \operatorname{tr}_\gamma \mathcal{L}_{N'} g + \operatorname{tr}_\gamma \mathcal{L}_N h \\ &= -2\langle A, h \rangle + \operatorname{tr}_\gamma \mathcal{L}_{\frac{-h_{00}N}{2}} g + \operatorname{tr}_\gamma \mathcal{L}_{-h(N)^T} g + \operatorname{tr}_\gamma \mathcal{L}_N h \\ &= -2\langle A, h \rangle - h(N,N)H + \delta_{\partial M} \left(h(N)^T \right) + \operatorname{tr}_\gamma \nabla_N h + 2\langle A, h \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{tr}_\gamma \nabla_N h + 2\delta_{\partial M} \left(h(N)^T \right) - h(N,N)H. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.2.2. *The mean curvature in local coordinates.*

Lemma 2.5. *In local coordinates the mean curvature of the boundary of M is*

$$2\mathcal{H}(g) = g^{T,\alpha\beta}\nu^i\partial_i(g_{\alpha\beta}) - \left(\frac{2g^{0l}g^{\alpha k}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}} + \frac{g^{0l}g^{0k}g^{0\alpha}}{(\sqrt{g^{00}})^3} - \frac{g^{T,\alpha\beta}g_{0\beta}g^{0l}g^{0k}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}}\right)\partial_\alpha(g_{kl}).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
2\mathcal{H}(g) &= \operatorname{tr}_{g^T}(\mathcal{L}_N g) = g^{T,\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{L}_N g)_{\alpha\beta}\\
&= g^{T,\alpha\beta}\left(N(g_{\alpha\beta}) - g([N,\partial_\alpha],\partial_\beta) - g(\partial_\alpha,[N,\partial_\beta])\right)\\
&= g^{T,\alpha\beta}\left(\nu^i\partial_i(g_{\alpha\beta}) + 2\partial_\alpha(\nu^i)g_{i\beta}\right)\\
&= g^{T,\alpha\beta}\nu^i\partial_i(g_{\alpha\beta}) + 2g^{T,\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha(\nu^\epsilon)g_{\epsilon\beta} + 2g^{T,\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha(\nu^0)g_{0\beta}\\
&= g^{T,\alpha\beta}\nu^i\partial_i(g_{\alpha\beta}) + 2\partial_\alpha(\nu^\alpha) + 2g^{T,\alpha\beta}g_{0\beta}\partial_\alpha(\nu^0).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nu^i = -\frac{g^{0i}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}}$ we compute

$$\partial_\alpha(\nu^i) = \left(\frac{g^{0l}g^{ik}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}} - \frac{g^{0l}g^{0k}g^{0i}}{2(\sqrt{g^{00}})^3}\right)\partial_\alpha(g_{kl}).$$

Therefore

$$2\mathcal{H}(g) \quad = \quad g^{T,\alpha\beta}\nu^i\partial_i(g_{\alpha\beta}) + \left(\frac{2g^{0l}g^{\alpha k}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}} - \frac{g^{0l}g^{0k}g^{0\alpha}}{(\sqrt{g^{00}})^3} + \frac{g^{T,\alpha\beta}g_{0\beta}g^{0l}g^{0k}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}}\right)\partial_\alpha(g_{kl}). \quad \square$$

3. A LINEAR PARABOLIC INITIAL-BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM.

Let g be a $C^{1+\epsilon}$ Riemannian metric on M, for some $\epsilon > 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, γ the induced metric on the boundary, $\beta_g = \operatorname{div}_g - \frac{1}{2}d\operatorname{tr}_g$ the Bianchi operator and $\mathcal{H}'_g$ be the linearization of the mean curvature at g.

We will also denote by $W^{2,1}_{p,0}$ and $W^{\lambda,\lambda/2}_{p,0}$ the subspaces whose elements satisfy the initial condition $u|_{t=0} = 0$ (the restriction at $t = 0$ makes sense, see for instance Chapter IV, §4 of [19]).

Theorem 3.1. *Consider the following linear parabolic initial-boundary value problem on symmetric 2-tensors on M*

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{tr}_g \widehat{\nabla}^2 u = F(x,t)$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\beta_g(u) &= G(x,t)\\
\mathcal{H}'_g(u) &= D(x,t)\\
u^T - \tfrac{\operatorname{tr}_\gamma u^T}{n}\gamma &= 0
\end{aligned}\right\}\ \text{on } \partial M \tag{3.1}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0$$

for $F \in L^p(M_T)$, G, D in the corresponding $W^{\lambda,\lambda/2}_p(\partial M_T)$ space and $u_0 \in W^{2,p}(M^o)$. Assuming that the zeroth order compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_g(u_0) &= G(x,0)\\
\mathcal{H}'_g(u_0) &= \eta(x,0)\\
u_0^T - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_\gamma u_0^T}{n}\gamma &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

hold, problem (3.1) has a unique solution $u \in W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$ *which satisfies the estimate*

$$||u||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} \leq C_8 \left(||F||_{L^p(M_T)} + ||G||_{W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)} + ||D||_{W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)} + ||u_0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)} \right).$$

Moreover the constant C_8 *stays bounded as* $T \to 0$ *and depends on the* $C^{1+\epsilon}$ *norms of* g *and* g^{-1}*.*

Proof. The method followed in Chapter IV of [19] and Theorem 5.4 of [25] carries over to the manifold setting, after the necessary adaptation to the realm of manifolds and vector bundles (see [21]). We only need to show that the following boundary value problem on $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} = \{x^0 \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfies the complementing condition (see [19],[25] and [14]).

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u_{kl} - \Delta_{eucl} u_{kl} &= \widehat{F}_{kl} \\
\\
\delta^{ij}\partial_i(u_{jk}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ij}\partial_k u_{ij} &= \widehat{G}_k \\
\delta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_o u_{\alpha\beta} - 2\delta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha u_{\beta 0} &= \widehat{D} \\
u_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\delta^{\epsilon\zeta}u_{\epsilon\zeta}}{n}\delta_{\alpha\beta} &= 0 \\
\\
u|_{t=0} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here, $\widehat{F}_{kl} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and $\widehat{G}_k, \widehat{D} \in W_{p,0}^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. One obtains (3.2) by expressing (3.1) in local coordinates around a point x of the boundary, with $g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij}$, freezing the coefficients at $(x, 0)$ and keeping the higher order terms. The principal symbols of the boundary operators are:

$$i\sum_l \xi_l h_{lk} - \frac{i}{2}\sum_l \xi_k h_{ll} \tag{3.3}$$

$$i\xi_0 \sum_\alpha h_{\alpha\alpha} - 2i\sum_\alpha \xi_\alpha h_{0\alpha} \tag{3.4}$$

and the principal symbol of the parabolic operator $\partial_t - \Delta_{eucl}$, is $(p + |\zeta|^2 + \tau^2)h_{ij}$, where $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $|\zeta|$ its Euclidean norm. We obtain the following positive root $\hat{\tau} = i\sqrt{p + |\zeta|^2}$. Setting equations (3.3), (3.4) to zero and letting $\xi_0 = \hat{\tau}$, $\xi_\alpha = \zeta_\alpha$, we get the following system:

$$i\hat{\tau}h_{00} + i\sum_\alpha \xi_\alpha h_{\alpha 0} - \frac{i}{2}\hat{\tau}\sum_l h_{ll} = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

$$i\hat{\tau}h_{0\mu} + i\sum_\alpha \xi_\alpha h_{\alpha\mu} - \frac{i}{2}\xi_\mu \sum_l h_{ll} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

$$i\hat{\tau}\sum_\alpha h_{\alpha\alpha} - 2i\sum_\alpha \xi_\alpha h_{0\alpha} = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \phi\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{3.8}$$

Since the principal symbol of the equation is in diagonal form, the complementing condition is equivalent to proving that system (3.5)-(3.8) has only the zero solution when (p, ζ) satisfy

$$\mathrm{Re}p \geq -\delta_1|\zeta|^2 \tag{3.9}$$

for some $0 < \delta_1 < 1$.

From equation (3.5) we have

$$2i\sum_{\alpha}\zeta_\alpha h_{\alpha 0} = i\hat{\tau}\sum_{l} h_{ll} - 2i\hat{\tau}h_{00} = i\hat{\tau}(\operatorname{tr} h - 2h_{00}), \tag{3.10}$$

while multiplying equation (3.6) by $2\zeta_\mu$ and then adding over μ we find:

$$\sum_{\mu} 2i\hat{\tau}\zeta_\mu h_{0\mu} + 2i\sum_{\alpha,\mu}\zeta_\alpha\zeta_\mu h_{\alpha\mu} - i\sum_{\mu}\zeta_\mu^2 \operatorname{tr} h = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

This gives, taking (3.10) and $h_{\alpha\mu} = \phi\delta_{\alpha\mu}$ into account:

$$i\hat{\tau}^2(\operatorname{tr} h - 2h_{00}) + 2i|\zeta|^2\phi - i|\zeta|^2 \operatorname{tr} h = 0 \tag{3.12}$$

which, after substituting for $\hat{\tau}$, leads to the equation:

$$ph_{00} = pn\phi + 2(n-1)|\zeta|^2\phi \tag{3.13}$$

Now, by equation (3.7) we have:

$$2i\sum_{\alpha}\zeta_\alpha h_{0\alpha} = i\hat{\tau}\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\alpha} = i\hat{\tau}\phi n \tag{3.14}$$

which combined with (3.5) gives:

$$2i\hat{\tau}h_{00} + i\hat{\tau}\phi n - i\hat{\tau}\operatorname{tr} h = 0 \tag{3.15}$$

and therefore $i\hat{\tau}h_{00} = 0$. Now, (3.9) implies that $p \neq -|\zeta|^2$, which gives $\hat{\tau} \neq 0$ and thus $h_{00} = 0$.

Now, by (3.13) we have that

$$\phi\left(pn + 2|\zeta|^2(n-1)\right) = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

However, assumption (3.9) implies that $\left(pn + 2|\zeta|^2(n-1)\right) \neq 0$, since $\frac{2(n-1)}{n} > 1$ for $n \geq 2$. This gives that $\phi = 0$.

Now we have established that $\phi = h_{00} = 0$ it is easy to see that $h_{0\mu} = 0$, by (3.7). This proves the complementing condition for system (3.2). □

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 is still valid if we consider γ_t and g_t evolving such that $\gamma_0 = g^T$. Note that the complementing condition is satisfied if γ_t and g_t^T are in the same conformal class. If not, the openness of this condition implies that it holds at least for some short time $\hat{\tau} > 0$ depending on $C^{\epsilon,\epsilon/2}$ bounds of γ_t and g_t. Thus, we either get local (in time) existence or a global solution and the constant C_8 depends on the $C^{1+\epsilon,\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}$ norms of g_t and γ_t and the C^0 norms of g^{-1} and γ^{-1}.

4. A BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE RICCI-DETURCK FLOW.

Let g^0 be a C^2 Riemannian metric on M^{n+1}. Consider also $\gamma(x,t) \in C^{1+\epsilon,\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}(\partial M_T)$, a family of boundary metrics and a function $\eta(x,t) \in C^{\epsilon,\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(\partial M_T)$, where ϵ is always $1-\frac{1}{p} < \epsilon < 1$ and $p > n+3$. Moreover, assume the zeroth order compatibility conditions (1.1) hold.

We supplement the Ricci flow equation

$$\partial_t g = -2\operatorname{Ric}(g) \tag{4.1}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \left[g^T\right] &= [\gamma_t] \\ \mathcal{H}(g) &= \eta(x,t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and the initial condition

$$g(0) = g^0, \tag{4.3}$$

and aim to study the existence and regularity of solutions.

As is well known, the Ricci flow equation is not strongly parabolic, so we will first study the Ricci-DeTurck equation

$$\partial_t g = -2\,\mathrm{Ric}(g) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}(g,\tilde{g})} g, \tag{4.4}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{W}(g,\tilde{g}) & = & 0 \\ \left[g^T\right] & = & [\gamma_t] \\ \mathcal{H}(g) & = & \eta(x,t). \end{array} \tag{4.5}$$

Here, $\mathcal{W}(g,\tilde{g})_l = g_{lr} g^{pq}\left(\Gamma^r_{pq}(g) - \tilde{\Gamma}^r_{t,pq}\right)$, $\Gamma(g)$ being the Christoffel symbols of g, and $\tilde{\Gamma}_t$ the Christoffel symbols of a C^2 family of metrics $\tilde{g}_t$ with $\tilde{g}|_{t=0} = g^0$ (i.e $\tilde{g} \in C^2(M \times [0,T])$).

Remark 4.1. The geometric nature of Ricci flow requires the boundary data to be geometric, namely invariant under diffeomorphisms that fix the boundary. The data (4.2) have this property. However, passing to the DeTurck equation we need to impose the additional, gauge-dependent boundary condition $\mathcal{W}(g,\tilde{g}) = 0$.

Remark 4.2. We allow the background metric $\tilde{g}_t$ to vary and define a time dependent reference gauge. This, as will be discussed in section 4.2, allows higher regularity of the solution on $\partial M \times 0$.

4.1. **Short-time existence of the Ricci-DeTurck flow.** We can now state and prove the main short time existence Theorem

Theorem 4.1. *Consider the boundary value problem* (4.4),(4.5) *with initial condition* $g(0) = g^0$. *For the data* $(g^0, \tilde{g}, \eta, \gamma)$ *define*

$$\Lambda = \max\left\{\kappa, \sup_t \left\{||\tilde{g} - g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)} + ||\partial_t \tilde{g}(t)||_{L_p(M^o)}\right\}\right\}.$$

For any $K > 0$ *there exists a* $T = T(\Lambda, K) > 0$ *and a solution* $g(t) \in W^{2,1}_p(M_T)$ *of this initial-boundary value problem which satisfies* $||g - g^0||_{W^{2,1}_p(M_T)} \leq K$.

Proof. Using the background connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ the Ricci-DeTurck equation (4.4) can be expressed as

$$\partial_t g - \mathrm{tr}_g\, \widehat{\nabla}^2_{\cdot,\cdot} g = \mathcal{R}(g(x,t), \widehat{\nabla} g(x,t)) - \mathcal{L}_{V(g)} g, \tag{4.6}$$

where $V(g) = g_{ir} g^{pq}(\widetilde{\Gamma}^r_{t,pq} - \widehat{\Gamma}^r_{pq})$, while in local coordinates we get (see [10])

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{R}(g(x,t), \widehat{\nabla} g(x,t))_{ij} & = & g^{pq} h^{kl}\left(g_{ik}\widehat{R}_{jplq} + g_{jk}\widehat{R}_{iplq}\right) \\ & & -g^{pq} g^{kl}\left(\dfrac{1}{2}\widehat{\nabla}_i g_{kp}\widehat{\nabla}_j g_{lq} + \widehat{\nabla}_p g_{jk}\widehat{\nabla}_l g_{iq} - \widehat{\nabla}_p g_{jq}\widehat{\nabla}_q g_{il}\right) \\ & & +g^{pq} g^{kl}\left(\widehat{\nabla}_j g_{kp}\widehat{\nabla}_q g_{il} + \widehat{\nabla}_i g_{kp}\widehat{\nabla}_q g_{jl}\right). \end{array}$$

Here h and $\widehat{\nabla}$ are the background metric and connection we used to define the function spaces.

Moreover, we will express the boundary condition for the conformal class in the form:

$$g_t^T - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{\gamma_t} g_t^T}{n}\gamma_t = 0.$$

Following [28], for $K, T > 0$ we define the following subset of $W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$:

$$M_K^T(g^0) = \left\{ u \in W_p^{2,1}(M_T) \,\middle|\, u|_{t=0} = g^0,\ ||u - g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} \leq K \right\}.$$

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $(g^0)^{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \geq \delta|\xi|^2_{eucl}$ in every coordinate system of the fixed atlas. Note that δ is controlled from below in terms of κ. Lemma 2.2 implies that for every $K > 0$, there exists $0 < T_o(K, g^0) \leq 1$ such that $\det(u_{ij}) \geq \delta/2$ and $(u^{-1})^{ii} \geq \delta/2$ for every $u \in M_K^{T_o}(g^0)$. In particular, $u(x,t)$ is a metric for all $t \in [0, T_o]$.

Now, let $T \leq T_o$. For every $w \in M_K^T(g^0)$ the following linear parabolic boundary value problem is well defined:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u - \operatorname{tr}_{g^0} \widehat{\nabla}^2 u &= \mathcal{R}(w(x,t), \widehat{\nabla} w(x,t)) - \mathcal{L}_{V(w)} w - \operatorname{tr}_{g^0} \widehat{\nabla}^2 w + \operatorname{tr}_w \widehat{\nabla}^2 w \equiv F_w \qquad \text{in } \bar{M} \\
\beta_{g^0}(u) &= \beta_{g^0}(w) - \mathcal{W}(w) \equiv D_w \\
\mathcal{H}'_{g^0}(u) &= \mathcal{H}'_{g^0}(w) - \mathcal{H}(w) + \eta(x,t) \equiv G_w \\
u^T - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{\gamma_t} u^T}{n}\gamma_t &= 0 \\
u|_{t=0} &= g^0
\end{aligned}$$

and has a unique solution $u \in W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$, by Theorem 3.1. This defines a map

$$S : M_K^T(g^0) \to W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$$

where $S(w)$ is this solution.

Notice that a fixed point of S solves the nonlinear boundary value problem. Therefore, it suffices to prove that S is a map from $M_K^T(g^0)$ to itself and also a contraction, as long as T is small enough. The existence of the fixed point will follow, since $M_K^T(g^0)$ is a complete metric space.

It is easy to see that $\sigma = S(w) - g^0$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \sigma - \operatorname{tr}_{g^0} \widehat{\nabla}^2 \sigma &= F_w + \operatorname{tr}_{g^0} \widehat{\nabla}^2 g^0 \equiv \widehat{F}_w \\
\beta_{g^0}(\sigma) &= D_w \\
\mathcal{H}'_{g^0}(\sigma) &= \mathcal{H}'_{g^0}(w - g^0) - (\mathcal{H}(w) - \mathcal{H}(g^0)) + \eta(x,t) - \eta(x,0) \equiv \widehat{G}_w \\
\sigma^T - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{\gamma_t} \sigma^T}{n}\gamma_t &= 0 \\
\sigma|_{t=0} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $\beta_{g^0}(g^0) = 0$ and the compatibility condition $\mathcal{H}(g^0) = \eta|_{t=0}$. Lemma 4.1 below and the parabolic estimate of Theorem 3.1 show that for any K, S maps $M_K^T(g^0)$ to itself, if T is small enough.

Finally, for any $w_1, w_2 \in M_K^T(g^0)$, $S(w_1) - S(w_2)$ similarly satisfies a linear initial-boundary value problem of the form (3.1). Then, the estimate of Lemma 4.2 below shows that S is a contraction for small $T > 0$.

The uniform bound of existence time follows from the fact that a uniform bound of κ implies uniform bounds of the constants of Lemmata 4.1, 4.2, and the constant C_8 of the parabolic estimate of Theorem 3.1. □

4.1.1. *Lemmata 4.1 and 4.2.*

Lemma 4.1. *Let $w \in M_K^T(g^0)$ for some $K > 0$ and $T \leq T_o(K, g^0)$. Then, there exists a constant $C(K, \tilde{g}, \eta)$ and a function $\zeta : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ with $\zeta(T) \to 0$ as $T \to 0$, such that the following estimate holds:*

$$||\widehat{F}_w||_{L_p(M_T)} + ||D_w||_{W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)} + ||\widehat{G}_w||_{W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)} \leq C(K, \tilde{g}, \eta)\zeta(T),$$

where $C(K, \tilde{g}, \eta) = C\left(K, \sup_t \left\{||\tilde{g}_t - g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)} + ||\partial_t \tilde{g}_t||_{L_p(M^o)}\right\}, ||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}, |\eta - \eta_0|_{\epsilon, \frac{\epsilon}{2}}\right)$.

Proof. Since $w \in M_K^T(g^0)$, Lemma 4.4 below implies that $w \in C^1(\overline{M_T})$ and therefore

$$|\mathcal{R}(w, \widehat{\nabla} w)|_h \leq C\left(K, ||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\right).$$

This gives

$$||\mathcal{R}(w, \widehat{\nabla} w)||_{L_p(M_T)} \leq C\left(K, ||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T).$$

Next, we estimate

$$||\mathcal{L}_{V(w)} w||_{L_p(M_T)} \leq T^{1/p} \sup_t ||\mathcal{L}_{V(w)} w|_t||_{L_p(M^o)} \leq C\left(K, \sup_t ||\tilde{g}_t||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T).$$

We also have

$$||\operatorname{tr}_{g^0} \widehat{\nabla}^2 g^0||_{L_p(M_T)} \leq C\left(||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right) T^{1/p}.$$

Combining these estimates we obtain

$$||\mathcal{R}(w, \widehat{\nabla} w) - \mathcal{L}_{V(w)} w + \operatorname{tr}_{g^0} \widehat{\nabla}^2 g^0||_{L_p(M_T)} \leq C\left(K, \sup_t ||\tilde{g}(t)||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T).$$

To estimate the rest of F_w we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} |((g^0)^{ij} - w^{ij})\widehat{\nabla}^2_{i,j} w|_h &\leq C \max_{k,l} |((g^0)^{ij} - w^{ij})\widehat{\nabla}^2_{i,j} w_{k,l}| \\ &\leq C\left(K, ||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\right) \max_{i,j,k,l} |\widehat{\nabla}^2_{i,j} w_{k,l}| \\ &\leq C\left(K, ||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\right)\zeta(T)|\widehat{\nabla}^2 w|_h \qquad \text{, by Lemma 4.4.} \end{aligned}$$

This gives the estimate $||\operatorname{tr}_{g^0} \widehat{\nabla}^2 w - \operatorname{tr}_w \widehat{\nabla}^2 w||_{L_p(M_T)} \leq C\left(K, ||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T)$ and proves

$$||\widehat{F}_w||_{L_p(M_T)} \leq C\left(K, \sup_t ||\tilde{g}(t)||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T).$$

It now remains to control the norms of $\widehat{G}_w$ and D_w. Given any $w \in M_K^T(g^0)$ (we assume that $T < T_o(K, g^0)$), define $h = w - g^0$, and for every $0 \leq s \leq 1$

$$g_s(x, t) \quad = \quad g^0(x) + s \cdot h(x, t)$$

Then by the fundamental theorem of calculus we get that

$$2\mathcal{H}(w) - 2\mathcal{H}(g^0) = \int_0^1 2\mathcal{H}'_{g_s}(h)ds$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G}_w &:= 2\mathcal{H}'_{g^0}(h) - \big(2\mathcal{H}(w) - 2\mathcal{H}(g^0)\big) + 2(\eta(x,t) - \eta(x,0)) \\ &= \int_0^1 [2\mathcal{H}'_{g_0}(h) - 2\mathcal{H}'_{g_s}(h)]ds + 2(\eta(x,t) - \eta(x,0)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, denoting $A_s := 2\mathcal{H}'_{g_0}(h) - 2\mathcal{H}'_{g_s}(h)$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} A_s &= \underbrace{tr_{g_0^T}(\nabla_{0,N_0}h) - tr_{g_s^T}(\nabla_{s,N_s}h)}_{\alpha_1^s} + \underbrace{2\delta_{0,\partial M}(h(N_0)^T) - 2\delta_{s,\partial M}(h(N_s)^T)}_{\alpha_2^s} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{h(N_s,N_s)\mathcal{H}(g_s) - h(N_0,N_0)\mathcal{H}(g_0)}_{\alpha_3^s} \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

which, in the coordinates of the fixed atlas, are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(g) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\alpha\beta}\nu^i\partial_i(g_{\alpha\beta}) + 2\partial_\alpha(\nu^\alpha) + 2g^{\alpha\beta}g_{0\beta}\partial_\alpha(\nu^0)\right) \\ \alpha_1^s &= (g_0^{\alpha\beta}\nu_0^i - g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i)\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta} - 2(g_0^{\alpha\beta}\nu_0^i\Gamma^l_{o,i\alpha} - g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha})h_{l\beta} \\ \alpha_2^s &= \left(g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i - g_o^{\alpha\beta}\nu_o^i\right)\partial_\alpha h_{i\beta} + \left(g_s^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha(\nu_s^i) - g_o^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha(\nu_o^i)\right)h_{i\beta} \\ &\quad + \left(g_o^{\alpha\beta}\nu_o^i\bar{\Gamma}^j_{o,\alpha\beta} - g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i\bar{\Gamma}^j_{s,\alpha\beta}\right)h_{ij} \\ \alpha_3^s &= \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\left(\nu_s^i\nu_s^j\nu_s^k g_s^{\alpha\beta}\partial_k(g_{s,\alpha\beta}) - \nu_0^i\nu_0^j\nu_0^k g_0^{\alpha\beta}\partial_k(g_{0,\alpha\beta})\right)h_{ij} \\ &\quad +2\left(\nu_s^i\nu_s^j\partial_\alpha(\nu_s^\alpha) - \nu_0^i\nu_0^j\partial_\alpha(\nu_0^\alpha)\right)h_{ij} \\ &\quad +2\left(\nu_s^i\nu_s^j g_s^{\alpha\beta}g_{s,0\beta}\partial_\alpha(\nu_s^0) - \nu_0^i\nu_0^j g_0^{\alpha\beta}g_{0,0\beta}\partial_\alpha(\nu_0^0)\right)h_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where $N_s = \nu_s^i\partial_i = -\frac{g_s^{0i}}{(g_s^{00})^{1/2}}\partial_i$ is the outward unit normal, $\bar{\Gamma}$ the Christoffel symbols of the connection induced on ∂M, and g_s^{ij} represents the inverse of the matrix $g_{s,ij}$(i.e the induced metric on the cotangent bundle). To simplify notation, $g_s^{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the inverse of the matrix $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta=1,\ldots,n}$.

Now, to indicate how the estimates of this lemma are established, we show how the term

$$(g_0^{\alpha\beta}\nu_0^i - g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i)\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta} = \left[(g_0^{\alpha\beta} - g_s^{\alpha\beta})\nu_0^i + (\nu_0^i - \nu_s^i)g_s^{\alpha\beta}\right]\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta}$$

is estimated. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\hat\rho(g_0^{\alpha\beta}-g_s^{\alpha\beta})\nu_0^i\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} &\leq C|g_0^{\alpha\beta}-g_s^{\alpha\beta}|_0|\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta}|_0|\nu_0^i|_0\\
&\quad +|g_0^{\alpha\beta}-g_s^{\alpha\beta}|_0|\nu_0^i|_0|\hat\rho\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)}\\
&\quad +|g_0^{\alpha\beta}-g_s^{\alpha\beta}|_0|\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta}|_0|\hat\rho\nu_0^i|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)}\\
&\quad +|\nu_0^i|_0|\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta}|_0|\hat\rho(g_0^{\alpha\beta}-g_s^{\alpha\beta})|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)}\\
&\leq C\left(K,||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\right)\zeta(T)||h||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 4.4.

The terms that are of zeroth order in h, for example $2(g_0^{\alpha\beta}\nu_0^i\Gamma^l_{o,i\alpha}-g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha})h_{l\beta}$, are of first order in g_0 and g_s, but they are estimated in a similar way:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(g_0^{\alpha\beta}\nu_0^i\Gamma^l_{o,i\alpha}-g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha})h_{l\beta}=\\
&\qquad 2\left[(g_0^{\alpha\beta}-g_s^{\alpha\beta})\nu_0^i\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}+g_s^{\alpha\beta}(\nu_0^i-\nu_s^i)\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}+g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i(\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}-\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha})\right]h_{l\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

For example, the term $g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i(\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}-\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha})h_{l\beta}$ can be estimated again using Lemma 4.4;

$$\begin{aligned}
|\hat\rho g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i(\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}-\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha})h_{l\beta}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} &\leq C|g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i|_0|\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}-\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha}|_0|h_{l\beta}|_0\\
&\quad +|g_s^{\alpha\beta}\nu_s^i|_0|h_{l\beta}|_0|\hat\rho(\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}-\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha})|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)}\\
&\quad +|g_s^{\alpha\beta}|_0|h_{l\beta}|_0|\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}-\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha}|_0|\hat\rho\nu_s^i|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)}\\
&\quad +|\nu_s^i|_0|h_{l\beta}|_0|\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}-\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha}|_0|\hat\rho g_s^{\alpha\beta}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)}\\
&\quad +|\nu_s^i g_s^{\alpha\beta}|_0|\Gamma^l_{0,i\alpha}-\Gamma^l_{s,i\alpha}|_0|\hat\rho h_{l\beta}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)}\\
&\leq C\left(K,||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\right)\zeta(T)||h||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The procedure indicated above carries over to estimate all the terms of A_s, providing us with the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_s|_{0,V_T}+|\hat\rho A_s|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} &\leq C\left(K,||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\right)\zeta(T)||h||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\\
&\leq C\left(K,||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\right)\zeta(T),
\end{aligned}$$

since $||h||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\leq K$. Now, under the assumptions for η, this proves that

$$||\widehat{G}_w||_{W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)}\leq C\left(K,||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)},|\eta-\eta_0|_{\epsilon,\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\right)\zeta(T).$$

Similarly, the linearization of the map $w\mapsto\mathcal{W}(w,\tilde g)$ at $u(x,t)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{W}'_u(\tau)_l=\beta_u(\tau)_l+(\tau_{lr}u^{pq}-u_{lr}\tau_{ij}u^{ip}u^{jq})(\Gamma(u)^r_{pq}-\tilde\Gamma^r_{t,pq}). \tag{4.8}$$

So, given any $w \in M_K^T(g^0)$, $T < T_0$, since $\beta_{g^0}(g^0) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_w)_l &= \beta_{g^0}(w)_l - (\mathcal{W}(w,\tilde{g}) - \mathcal{W}(g^0,\tilde{g}))_l - \mathcal{W}(g^0,\tilde{g})_l \\
&= \beta_{g^0}(h)_l - \int_0^1 \mathcal{W}'_{g_s}(h)_l ds - \mathcal{W}(g^0,\tilde{g})_l \\
&= \int_0^1 (\beta_{g^0}(h) - \beta_{g_s}(h))_l ds + \int_0^1 (h_{lr} g_s^{pq} - g_{s,lr} h_{ij} g_s^{ip} g_s^{jq})(\Gamma^r_{s,pq} - \tilde{\Gamma}^r_{t,pq}) ds - \mathcal{W}(g^0,\tilde{g})_l.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, using a coordinate system intersecting the boundary, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(h) &= g^{ij}\left(\partial_i h_{jl} - h_{rl}\Gamma^r_{ij} - h_{jr}\Gamma^r_{il}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_l(g^{ij}h_{ij}) \\
\beta_{g^0}(h)_l - \beta_{g_s}(h)_l &= (g^{0,ij} - g_s^{ij})\partial_i h_{jl} - (g^{0,ij}\Gamma^r_{0,ij} - g_s^{ij}\Gamma^r_{s,ij})h_{rl} - (g^{0,ij}\Gamma^r_{0,il} - g_s^{ij}\Gamma_{s,il})h_{jr} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\left[(\partial_l g^{0,ij} - \partial_l g_s^{ij})h_{ij} + (g^{0,ij} - g_s^{ij})\partial_l h_{ij}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, a series of estimates of the same form as those used for the mean curvature part of the boundary conditions gives the required estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
||D_w||_{W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)} &\leq C\left(K, ||\tilde{g} - g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}, ||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T) \\
&\leq C\left(K, \sup_t \left\{||\tilde{g} - g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)} + ||\partial_t \tilde{g}||_{L_p(M^o)}\right\}, ||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let $K > 0$ and $T \leq T_o(K, g^0)$. Then, there exists a constant $C(K,\tilde{g})$ such that for every $w_1, w_2 \in M_K^T(g^0)$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9)\quad ||F_{w_1} - F_{w_2}||_{L_p(M_T)} + ||D_{w_1} - D_{w_2}||_{W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)} + ||G_{w_1} - G_{w_2}||_{W_p^{\lambda,\lambda/2}(\partial M_T)} \\
\leq C(K,\tilde{g})\zeta(T)||w_1 - w_2||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(K,\tilde{g}) = C\left(K, \sup_t \left\{||\tilde{g} - g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)} + ||\partial_t\tilde{g}||_{L_p(M^o)}\right\}, ||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)$.

Proof. If $w_1, w_2 \in M_K^T(g^0)$ and w_1^{-1}, w_2^{-1} are the metrics induced on the cotangent bundle, Lemma 2.2 gives that

$$|w_1 - w_2|_1 + |w_1^{-1} - w_2^{-1}|_0 \leq C\left(K, ||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}\right)\zeta(T)||w_1 - w_2||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}.$$

which is enough to prove in a similar manner with Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.10)\quad ||\mathcal{R}(w_1, \widehat{\nabla} w_1) - \mathcal{R}(w_2, \widehat{\nabla} w_2) - (\mathcal{L}_{V(w_1)}w_1 - \mathcal{L}_{V(w_2)}w_2)||_{L_p(M_T)} \\
\leq C\left(K, \sup_t ||\tilde{g}(t)||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T)||w_1 - w_2||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, again as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{tr}_{g^0}\widehat{\nabla}^2 w_1 - \operatorname{tr}_{w_1}\widehat{\nabla}^2 w_1 - (\operatorname{tr}_{g^0}\widehat{\nabla}^2 w_2 - \operatorname{tr}_{w_2}\widehat{\nabla}^2 w_2)|_h &\leq |(g^{0,ij} - w_1^{ij})\widehat{\nabla}^2_{i,j}(w_1 - w_2)|_h \\
&\quad +|(w_2^{ij} - w_1^{ij})\widehat{\nabla}^2_{i,j} w_2|_h \\
&\leq C(K,g^0)\zeta(T)|\widehat{\nabla}^2(w_1 - w_2)|_h \\
&\quad +C(K,g^0)|w_2 - w_1|_0\,|\widehat{\nabla}^2 w_2|_h
\end{aligned}$$

which, by Lemma 2.2, gives

$$||\operatorname{tr}_{g^0}\widehat{\nabla}^2 w_1 - \operatorname{tr}_{w_1}\widehat{\nabla}^2 w_1 - (\operatorname{tr}_{g^0}\widehat{\nabla}^2 w_2 - \operatorname{tr}_{w_2}\widehat{\nabla}^2 w_2)||_{L_p(M_T)} \leq C\left(K, ||g^0||_{W^{2,1}_p(M_T)}\right)\zeta(T)|w_1 - w_2|_{L^{2,1}_p(M_T)} \tag{4.11}$$

and proves $||F_{w_1} - F_{w_2}||_{L_p(M_T)} \leq C\left(K, \sup_t ||\tilde{g}||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T)||w_1 - w_2||_{W^{2,1}_p(M_T)}$.

To establish the estimates for the boundary data, we define

$$\begin{aligned} h &= w_1 - w_2 \\ g_s &= w_1 + s\cdot h. \end{aligned}$$

Then, again as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{w_1} - G_{w_2} &= \int_0^1 [2\mathcal{H}'_{g^0}(h) - 2\mathcal{H}'_{g_s}(h)]ds \\ D_{w_1} - D_{w_2} &= \int_0^1 (\beta_{g^0}(h) - \beta_{g_s}(h))_l ds + \int_0^1 (h_{lr}g_s^{pq} - g_{s,lr}h_{ij}g_s^{ip}g_s^{jq})(\Gamma^r_{s,pq} - \tilde{\Gamma}^r_{t,pq})ds \end{aligned}$$

and the same computation gives the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &||G_{w_1} - G_{w_2}||_{W^{\lambda,\lambda/2}_p(\partial M_T)} + ||D_{w_1} - D_{w_2}||_{W^{\lambda,\lambda/2}_p(\partial M_T)} \leq \\ &\qquad C\left(K, \sup_t\left\{||\tilde{g} - g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)} + ||\partial_t\tilde{g}||_{L_p(M^o)}\right\}, ||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}\right)\zeta(T)||w_1 - w_2||_{W^{2,1}_p(M_T)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.1.2. *Technical Lemmata.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\delta_0 > 0$. There exists a positive constant C, such that for matrix valued functions $g, g_l \in L^\infty(V_T) \cap \mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p(V_T)$, $l = 1, 2$ for which $det(g_{ij}), det(g_{l,ij}) \geq \delta_0$ and $g^{ii}, g^{ii}_l \geq \delta_0$ holds:*

1. $|\hat{\rho}g^{ij}|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p(V_T)} \leq C|g|_0\left(|\hat{\rho}g|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p(V_T)} + 1\right)$
2. $|\hat{\rho}\left(g_1^{ij} - g_2^{ij}\right)|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p(V_T)} \leq C\cdot B_1\cdot B_2\left(|\hat{\rho}(\,g_1 - g_2\,)|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p(V_T)} + |g_1 - g_2|_0\right)$
3. $|\hat{\rho}(g^{00})^{-1/2}|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p(V_T)} \leq C\cdot|g|_0\left(|\hat{\rho}g|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p} + 1\right)$
4. $|\hat{\rho}\left((g_1^{00})^{-1/2} - (g_2^{00})^{-1/2}\right)|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p(V_T)} \leq C\cdot B_1\cdot B_2.\left(|\hat{\rho}\,(g_1 - g_2)|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p(V_T)} + |g_1 - g_2|_0\right)$

where $|g_l|_0 \leq B_1$ and $|\hat{\rho}g_l|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha,\beta}_p(V_T)} \leq B_2$ and the constants depend on δ and the cutoff function $\hat{\rho}$.

Proof. The result follows from Weidemaier, Corollary A.3. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $g_0, g_1 \in M^T_K(g^0)$, $T \leq T_0(K, g^0)$, $g_s = g_0 + s(g_1 - g_0)$ and (U, ϕ, ρ) a chart whose domain intersects the boundary, with the corresponding cutoff function ρ and $\hat{\rho} = \rho\circ\phi^{-1}$. Let also $\nu^i_s = -\frac{g_s^{0i}}{(g_s^{00})^{-1/2}}$ be the components of the outward unit normal to the boundary with respent to g_s. Then:*

1. $|g_{s,ij}|_{1,V_T} + |\hat{\rho}g_{s,ij}|_{\mathcal{L}^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}_p(V_T)} + |\hat{\rho}\partial_k g_{s,ij}|_{\mathcal{L}^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}_p(V_T)} \leq C(K, g^0)$
2. $|g_0^{ij} - g_s^{ij}|_1 + |g_{0,ij} - g_{s,ij}|_1 \leq C(K, g^0, \delta)\zeta(T)||h||_{W^{2,1}_p(M_T)}$
3. $|\hat{\rho}(g_0^{ij} - g_s^{ij})|_{\mathcal{L}^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}_p(V_T)} + |\hat{\rho}(g_{0,ij} - g_{s,ij})|_{\mathcal{L}^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}_p(V_T)} \leq C(K, g^0, \delta)\zeta(T)||h||_{W^{2,1}_p(M_T)}$
4. $|\hat{\rho}\partial_k g_s^{ij}|_{\mathcal{L}^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}_p(V_T)} \leq C(K, g^0, \delta)$

(5) $|\nu_s^i|_0 + |\hat{\rho}\nu_s^i|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} + |\hat{\rho}\partial_\alpha \nu_s^i|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} \leq C(K, g^0, \delta)$

(6) $|\nu_0^i - \nu_s^i|_1 + |\hat{\rho}(\nu_0^i - \nu_s^i)|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} \leq C(K, g^0, \delta)\zeta(T)||h||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}$

(7) $|\hat{\rho}\partial_\alpha \nu_s^i|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} \leq C(K, g^0, \delta)$

where $\lim\limits_{T\to 0^+} \zeta(T) = 0$.

Proof. Since g_0, g_1 are in $M_K^T(g^0)$ we get that $g_s \in M_K^T(g^0)$. Therefore the estimate

$$||g_s - g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} \leq K$$

holds. Using Lemma 2.2, and assuming $T \leq 1$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} |g_s|_1 &\leq |g_s - g^0|_1 + |g^0|_1 \leq C_4 K + C_4^*(g^0) \\ |g_s|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\partial M_T)} &\leq |g_s - g^0|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\partial M_T)} + |g^0|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\partial M_T)} \leq C_5 K + C_5^*(g^0) \end{aligned}$$

where $C_4^* = |g^0|_1$ and $C_5^* = |g^0|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\partial M_T)}$.

We also have that $||g_s||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} \leq ||g_s - g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} + ||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}$. This, using Lemma 2.1, gives:

$$|\widehat{\nabla} g_s|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\partial M_T)} \leq C_1 ||g_s||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} \leq C_1 K + C_1^*(g^0)$$

where $C_1^*(g^0) = ||g^0||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)}$. Now, the estimate

$$|\hat{\rho}\partial_k g_{s,ij}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} \leq C\left(h, \widehat{\nabla}, \{U_s\}, \phi_s\right) |\hat{\rho}\widehat{\nabla}_k g_{s,ij}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)}$$

completes the proof of (1).

Estimate (2) follows directly from (1), Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $\det(g_{s,ij}) \geq \delta/2$, since the inverse is given in terms of the determinant and the cofactor matrix.

Lemma 4.3, proves (3), since

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{s,ij}|_0 + |\hat{\rho} g_{s,ij}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} &\leq C(K, g^0) \\ |\hat{\rho}(g_{0,ij} - g_{s,ij})| &\leq C_5 T^\gamma ||g_0 - g_s||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} \leq C_5 T^\gamma ||h||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} \\ |g_0 - g_s|_0 &\leq C_4 T^\gamma ||h||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from (1) while the second and third inequalities by Lemma 2.2.

For estimate (4), note that $\partial_k(g_s^{ij}) = -g_s^{qi}\partial_k g_{s,pq} g_s^{pj}$. Then, by (1) we get the estimate

$$|\hat{\rho}\partial_k(g_s^{ij})|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} \leq C(K, g^0, \delta)$$

The estimate of $|\nu_0^i - \nu_s^i|_0 + |\partial_\alpha(\nu_0^i - \nu_s^i)|_0$ follows from (1) and (2) of this Lemma. For the rest we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\rho}(\nu_0^i - \nu_s^i)|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} &= \left|\hat{\rho}\left(\frac{g_0^{0i}}{\sqrt{g_0^{00}}} - \frac{g_s^{0i}}{\sqrt{g_s^{00}}}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} \\ &\leq \left|\hat{\rho}\left((g_0^{00})^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (g_s^{00})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) g_0^{0i}\right|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} + |\hat{\rho}(g_0^{0i} - g_s^{0i})(g_s^{00})^{-\frac{1}{2}}|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} \\ &\leq C(K, g^0, \delta)\zeta(T)||h||_{W_p^{2,1}(M_T)} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 4.3, Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $T \leq T_0$.

Lemma 4.3, since $g_s^{00} \geq \delta/2$, also proves that

$$|\hat{\rho}\partial_\alpha(\nu_s^i)|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} = \left|\partial_\alpha\left(\frac{g_s^{0i}}{\sqrt{g_s^{00}}}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,\frac{\lambda}{2}}(V_T)} \leq C(K, g^0, \delta)$$

and this finishes the proof of this Lemma. □

4.2. **Regularity of the Ricci-DeTurck flow.** The solution of the Ricci-DeTurck boundary value problem obtained in the previous section is in the Sobolev space $W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$ for $p > n+3$, and therefore has only $C^{1+\alpha,\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}$ regularity in $\overline{M_T}$. In this section we show that certain higher order compatibility conditions on ∂M are necessary and sufficient for higher regularity on $\partial M \times 0$. We also obtain an automatic smoothing effect of the flow for positive time (up to the boundary).

4.2.1. *Higher order compatibility conditions.* Assuming that $g(x,t)$ is a $C^{l+2,\frac{l}{2}+1}(\overline{M_T})$ solution to the Ricci-DeTurck flow

$$\partial_t g = -2\operatorname{Ric}(g) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}(g,\tilde{g})} g$$

we easily see that all the derivatives $h_k \equiv \partial_t^k g|_{t=0} \in C^{l+2-2k}(M)$, $0 \leq k \leq \left[\frac{l}{2}\right]+1$ are determined by the initial data $g|_{t=0} = g^0 \in C^{l+2}(\bar{M})$, by differentiating the equation with respect to t, and then commuting ∂_t^k with $\partial_i\partial_j$, which is possible as long as $2k+2 < l+2$, i.e. $0 \leq k \leq \left[\frac{l}{2}\right]$.

Moreover, if $g(x,t)$ satisfies the boundary conditions (4.5), differentiating with respect to t we get:

$$\partial_t^k \mathcal{W}(g_t, \tilde{g}_t)|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{4.12}$$

$$\partial_t^k \mathcal{H}(g_t)|_{t=0} = \partial_t^k \eta|_{t=0} \tag{4.13}$$

$$\partial_t^k \left(g_t^T - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{\gamma_t}(g_t^T)}{n}\gamma_t\right)\Big|_{t=0} = 0 \tag{4.14}$$

So, from (4.14) for $k \leq \left[\frac{l}{2}\right]+1$, we see that additional conditions need to be satisfied by h_k, and hence by g^0, on ∂M. Similarly, for $k \leq \left[\frac{l+1}{2}\right]$ (so as $2k+1 < l+2$), the ∂_t^k derivatives commute with the space derivatives of the first order operators $\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H}$ on (4.12), (4.13), and give additional restrictions on the initial data on the boundary.

In particular, if $l > 0$, since $(g^0)^T = \gamma|_{t=0}$ we see that $\dot{\gamma}\,|_{t=0}$ is specified, up to a conformal factor by h_1:

$$\dot{\gamma}\,|_{t=0} = h_1^T - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{\gamma_0} h_1^T}{n}\gamma_0 + f\gamma_0 \tag{4.15}$$

where f is an arbitrary function. Moreover, if $l > 1$, $\dot{\eta}\,|_{t=0}$ is also specified by the initial data:

$$\dot{\eta}\,|_{t=0} = \mathcal{H}'_{g^0}(h_1) \tag{4.16}$$

In the section below, we show how parabolic regularity implies that these conditions are also sufficient to obtain higher regularity of a solution to the Ricci-DeTurck boundary value problem.

4.2.2. *Regularity up to $t = 0$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let $g(x,t) \in W_p^{2,1}(M_T)$ a solution of the Ricci-DeTurck boundary value problem (1.2),(1.3). For $l = k + \alpha$, $\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{n+3}{p}$, if $\tilde{g} \in C^{l+2,\frac{l+2}{2}}(M^o \times [0,T])$ then $g \in C^{l+2,\frac{l+2}{2}}(M^o \times [0,T])$. Moreover, if $\eta \in C^{l+1,\frac{l+1}{2}}(\partial M_T)$, $\gamma \in C^{l+2,\frac{l+2}{2}}(\partial M_T)$ and $\tilde{g} \in C^{l+2,\frac{l+2}{2}}(\overline{M_T})$ and the data g^0,η,γ,$\tilde{g}$ satisfy the necessary compatibility conditions, there exists a τ, $0 < \tau \leq T$, such that $g \in C^{l+2,\frac{l+2}{2}}(\overline{M_\tau})$.*

Proof. First we prove interior regularity. Let $p \in M^o$, any point in the interior of M, U a neighbourhood of p not intersecting the boundary, a smooth chart $\phi : U \to B(0,1)$ and a smooth cutoff function ζ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\zeta|_{B(0,1/2)} \equiv 1$ and $\zeta|_{B(0,1)-B(0,3/4)} \equiv 0$.

Given a Riemannian metric g_{ij} on $B(0,1)$ we can define the differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}(\partial_t, \partial_x, g_{ij})(u)_{kl} = \partial_t(u_{kl}) - g^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j(u_{kl})$$

acting on symmetric 2-tensors on $B(0,1)$.

Now, since $g(x,t)$ solves the Ricci-DeTurck flow, in these coordinates it satisfies the parabolic equation

$$\mathcal{L}(\partial_t, \partial_x, g_{ij})(g)_{kl} = \mathcal{S}(g, \partial g, \tilde{g}, \partial\tilde{g}, \partial^2\tilde{g})_{kl} \tag{4.17}$$

Define $v_{kl} = \zeta g_{kl}$. It is easy to see that it satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t v_{kl} - g^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j(v_{kl}) = \zeta\mathcal{S}_{kl} - g^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j(\zeta)g_{kl} - 2g^{ij}\partial_i(\zeta)\partial_j(g_{kl}) \tag{4.18}$$

on $B(0,1)$, with initial data $v_{kl}|_{t=0} = \zeta g^0_{kl} \in C^{2+\alpha}$ and Dirichlet boundary data $v|_{\partial B(0,1)} \equiv 0$. Since the compatibility conditions of any order hold (ζ is zero on a neighbourhood of $\partial B(0,1)$), and the coefficients of the equation are in $C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\overline{B(0,1)_T})$ by Lemma 2.2, it follows from standard parabolic theory (see [19]) that this boundary value problem has a unique solution in $C^{2+\alpha,(2+\alpha)/2}(\overline{B(0,1)_T})$. Since $p \in M^o$ was arbitrary, we obtain that $g \in C^{2+\alpha,\frac{2+\alpha}{2}}(M^o \times [0,T])$.

The argument presented above, since the coefficients and the right hand side of (4.18) are in $C^{1+\alpha,\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(\overline{B(0,1)} \times [0,T])$, shows that if $\tilde{g}$ is in $C^{3+\alpha,\frac{3+\alpha}{2}}(M^o \times [0,T])$, so is g. Thus, a standard bootstrapping argument shows that $g \in C^{k+\alpha,\frac{k+\alpha}{2}}(M^o \times [0,T])$ as long as $\tilde{g}$ is.

It remains to prove the regularity of the solution of the Ricci-DeTurck equation in a neighbourhood of the boundary, under the assumptions of the Theorem.

We need to establish some notation first. Let $\phi : U \to \phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be any smooth chart on a domain U intersecting the boundary of M, such that $\phi(U \cap \partial M) = \phi(U) \cap \{x^0 = 0\}$, and let g_{ab}, $\gamma_{\varepsilon\sigma}$ be symmetric positive definite $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ and $n \times n$ matrices respectively. Define the following differential operators

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\partial_x, g_{ab})(u)_i &= g^{pq}\partial_p(u_{qi}) - \frac{1}{2}g^{pq}\partial_i(u_{pq}) \\
H(\partial_x, g_{ab})(u) &= g^{T,\alpha\beta}\nu^i\partial_i(u_{\alpha\beta}) + \left(\frac{2g^{0l}g^{\alpha k}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}} - \frac{g^{0l}g^{0k}g^{0\alpha}}{(\sqrt{g^{00}})^3} + \frac{g^{T,\alpha\beta}g_{0\beta}g^{0l}g^{0k}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}}\right)\partial_\alpha(u_{kl}) \\
C(\gamma_{\varepsilon\sigma})(u)_{\alpha\beta} &= u_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\gamma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}}{n}u_{\mu\nu}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, take any $p \in \partial M$, and consider a smooth coordinate system as the above with $\phi(p) = 0$ and $g_{ij}(0)|_{t=0} = \delta_{ij}$.

By Lemma 2.5, $2\mathcal{H}(g) = H(\partial_x, g_{ab}(x,t))(g)$. Thus, in addition to (4.17), $g_{ij}(x,t)$ satisfy the following conditions on $\phi(U) \cap \{x^0 = 0\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} B(\partial_x, g_{ab}(x,t))(g)_i &= g^{pq} g_{ri} \tilde{\Gamma}^r_{pq} \\ H(\partial_x, g_{ab}(x,t))(g) &= 2\eta(x,t) \\ C(\gamma_{\varepsilon\sigma})(g)_{\alpha\beta} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

and the initial condition $g_{ij}|_{t=0} = g^0_{ij}$.

Notice that after “freezing” the coefficients at $x = 0, t = 0$, the operators $\mathcal{L}(\delta_{ab})$, $B(\delta_{ab})$, $H(\delta_{ab})$, $C(\delta_{\varepsilon\sigma})$, satisfy the complementing condition, as the computation in Theorem 3.1 shows. The openness of this condition implies that the same is true for the operators $\mathcal{L}(g_{ab})$, $B(g_{ab})$, $H(g_{ab})$, $C(\gamma_{\varepsilon\sigma})$, as long as g_{ab} and $\gamma_{\varepsilon\sigma}$ are close to δ_{ab}.

We will extend (4.18), (4.19) to a parabolic boundary value problem on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ using a smooth cutoff function $0 \le \eta \le 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ supported in a ball $B^+(0,r)$ such that $\eta|_{B^+(0,r/2)} \equiv 1$. For this, define the metrics

$$a_{ab}(x,t) = \eta g_{ab}(x,t) - (1-\eta)\delta_{ab}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, and

$$\alpha_{\varepsilon\sigma} = \eta\gamma_{t,\varepsilon\sigma} + (1-\eta)\delta_{\varepsilon\sigma}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then, choosing $r, \tau > 0$ small enough, the operators $\mathcal{L}(a_{ab}(x,t))$, $B(a_{ab}(x,t))$, $H(a_{ab}(x,t))$, $C(\alpha_{\varepsilon\sigma}(x,t))$ will satisfy the complementing condition, defining a parabolic boundary value problem on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \times [0,\tau]$.

Now, let $0 \le \zeta \le 1$ be a smooth cutoff function supported in $B^+(0,r/2)$, $\zeta|_{B^+(0,r/3)} \equiv 1$, and set $v = \zeta g$.

Then v satisfies the equation

$$\mathcal{L}(\partial_t, \partial_x, a_{ij})(v)_{kl} = \zeta\mathcal{S}_{kl} - g^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j(\zeta)g_{kl} - 2g^{ij}\partial_i(\zeta)\partial_j(g_{kl})$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} B(\partial_x, a_{ab}(x,t))(v)_i &= \left(1 - \frac{n}{2}\right)\partial_i(\zeta) + \zeta g^{pq}\tilde{\Gamma}^r_{t,pq} g_{ri} \\ H(\partial_x, a_{ab}(x,t))(v) &= 2\zeta\eta + n\nu^i\partial_i(\zeta) + \nu^\alpha\partial_\alpha(\zeta) + \frac{g_T^{\alpha\beta} g_{0\beta} g^{0l} g^{0k} g_{kl}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}}\partial_\alpha(\zeta) \\ C(\alpha_{\varepsilon\sigma})(v)_{\alpha\beta} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. Therefore, by Theorem 5.4 of [25], and the same bootstrapping argument we used for the interior regularity, we can prove that $g \in C^{l+2,\frac{l+2}{2}}(\overline{M} \times [0,\tau])$ for some small $\tau > 0$, since ζg^0_{kl} satisfies the necessary higher order compatibility conditions as long as g^0_{kl} does. □

Remark 4.3. For $g \in W^{2,1}_p(M_T)$, the metrics $g(t)$ are uniformly equivalent and satisfy a uniform Hölder condition in the t direction. Therefore, one can iterate the argument above to show boundary regularity up to time T.

4.2.3. *Boundary regularity for $t > 0$.*

Theorem 4.3. *Let g be a solution in $W^{2,1}_p(M_T)$ of the Ricci-DeTurck boundary value problem with respect to a C^∞ family of background metrc is $\tilde{g}$ and C^∞ initial and boundary data. Then g is in $C^\infty(M \times (0,\tau])$. In particular the compatibility conditions of any order are satisfied by $g(t)$ for any $t > 0$.*

Proof. We already know that $g \in C^{1+\alpha, \frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(\overline{M_T})$, for $\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{n+3}{p}$. Setting $l = k + \alpha$, we are going to do induction on the order k of the regularity. Suppose that $g \in C^{l+1, \frac{l+1}{2}}(M \times (0, \tau])$.

Set $d = \left[\frac{l}{2}\right] + 1$, and choose $0 < \tau_o < \tau$. We will use the notation

$$v^{\tau_o}(x,t) \equiv v(x, t + \tau_o).$$

The idea is to use the arguments in the proof of Theorem 4.2 for the quantity

$$w_{kl} = t^d \zeta g^{\tau_o}_{kl}$$

For the boundary regularity (interior regularity can be proven in the same way), w will satisfy the equation

$$\mathcal{L}(\partial_t, \partial_x, a^{\tau_o}_{ab})(w)_{kl} = t^d \left\{\zeta \mathcal{S}_{kl} - g^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j(\zeta) g_{kl} - 2g^{ij}\partial_i(\zeta)\partial_j(g_{kl})\right\}^{\tau_o} + dt^{d-1}\zeta g^{\tau_o}_{kl}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\partial_x, a^{\tau_o}_{ab})(w)_i &= t^d \left\{\left(1 - \frac{n}{2}\right)\partial_i(\zeta) + \zeta g^{pq}\tilde{\Gamma}^r_{pq} g_{ri}\right\}^{\tau_o} \\
H(\partial_x, a^{\tau_o}_{ab})(w) &= t^d \left\{2\zeta\eta + n\nu^i\partial_i(\zeta) + \nu^\alpha\partial_\alpha(\zeta) + \frac{g^{\alpha\beta}_T g_{0\beta} g^{0l} g^{0k} g_{kl}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}}\partial_\alpha(\zeta)\right\}^{\tau_o} \\
C(\alpha^{\tau_o}_{\varepsilon\sigma})(w)_{\alpha\beta} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

on $\partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ and the initial condition $w|_{t=0} = 0$.

The coefficients of $\mathcal{L}$, B, H and C are in $C^{l+1, \frac{l+1}{2}}(M \times [0, \tau - \tau_o])$, while the right hand side of the equation is in $C^{l, l/2}(M \times [0, \tau - \tau_o])$. Then w will be in $C^{l+2, \frac{l+2}{2}}(M \times [0, \tau - \tau_o])$ as long as the necessary compatibility conditions hold.

To prove this, first note that assuming that $w \in C^{l+2, \frac{l+2}{2}}(M \times [0, \tau - \tau_o])$, we have that

$$\partial^i_t w|_{t=0} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & i < d \\ d!\zeta g^{\tau_o} & i = d \end{array} \right.$$

Using this, and commuting derivatives, we compute for $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^i_t(B(a^{\tau_o}_{ab})(w)|_{t=0} &= 0 = \partial^i_t \left(t^d \left\{\left(1 - \frac{n}{2}\right)\partial_i(\zeta) + \zeta g^{pq}\tilde{\Gamma}^r_{pq} g_{ri}\right\}^{\tau_o}\right)\Big|_{t=0} \\
\partial^i_t(H(a^{\tau_o}_{ab})(w)|_{t=0} &= 0 = \partial^i_t \left(t^d \left\{2\zeta\eta + n\nu^i\partial_i(\zeta) + \nu^\alpha\partial_\alpha(\zeta) + \frac{g^{\alpha\beta}_T g_{0\beta} g^{0l} g^{0k} g_{kl}}{\sqrt{g^{00}}}\partial_\alpha(\zeta)\right\}^{\tau_o}\right)\Bigg|_{t=0}
\end{aligned}$$

and $\partial^i_t(C(\alpha^{\tau_o}_{\varepsilon\sigma})(w))|_{t=0} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. This shows that the initial and boundary data satisfy the compatibility conditions.

Hence, $w \in C^{l+2, \frac{l+2}{2}}(M \times [0, \tau - \tau_o])$, and since τ_o was arbitrary $g \in C^{l+2, \frac{l+2}{2}}(M \times (0, \tau])$. ☐

Remark 4.4. The assumption that the data are smooth is not necessary. Given an initial metric in $C^{k,\epsilon}(M) \cap W^{2,p}(M^o)$, $k \geq 1$, boundary data $\gamma \in C^{l, l/2}(\partial M \times (0, \tau])$, $\eta \in C^{l-1, \frac{l-1}{2}}(\partial M \times (0, \tau])$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_t \in C^{l-1, \frac{l-1}{2}}(\partial M \times (0, \tau])$, for $l = k' + \alpha$, $k' \geq k$, the solution will be in $C^{l, l/2}(M \times (0, \tau])$.

4.2.4. *Regularity of the DeTurck vector field.* According to the following Proposition, the DeTurck vector field $\mathcal{W}$ can gain one derivative, without requiring all the compatibility conditions needed to increase the regularity of g. Only higher order compatibility of the initial data with the reference metrics is needed. Thus, it can be assumed to be as smooth as the solution to the Ricci-DeTurck flow.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $g \in C^{l,l/2}(\overline{M_T})$ be a solution of the Ricci-DeTurck equation, $l > 3$. Assume that further that $\tilde{g}$ is in $C^{l+1,\frac{l+1}{2}}(\overline{M_T})$ and that the compatibility condition $h_k = \partial_t^k \tilde{g}_t|_{t=0}$ is satisfied for $k \le \left[\frac{l+1}{2}\right]$. Then, the DeTurck vector field $\mathcal{W}$ is in $C^{l,l/2}(\overline{M_T})$.*

Proof. Applying the Bianchi operator $\beta_g = \operatorname{div}_g - \frac{1}{2} d \operatorname{tr}_g$ in both sides of the Ricci-DeTurck equation we get

$$\beta_g(\partial_t g) = \beta_g(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}} g).$$

Commuting derivatives we obtain

$$\beta_g(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}} g) = \Delta \mathcal{W} + \operatorname{Ric}(\mathcal{W}),$$

where $\Delta = \operatorname{tr}_g \nabla^2$ and $\operatorname{Ric}(\mathcal{W}) = \operatorname{Ric}(\mathcal{W}, \cdot)$.

By the linearization formula of $\mathcal{W}$ (4.8) we get that

$$\partial_t \mathcal{W}_b = \beta_g(\partial_t g)_b - g_{br} U_{ij} g^{ip} g^{jq} \left(\Gamma^r_{pq} - \tilde{\Gamma}^r_{t,pg} \right) - g^{pq} \partial_t (\tilde{\Gamma}^r_{t,pq}),$$

with $U_{ij} = -2 \operatorname{Ric}_{ij} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}} g_{ij}$.

Combining the above we get the following evolution equation for $\mathcal{W}$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{W} = \Delta \mathcal{W} + \operatorname{Ric}(\mathcal{W}) + Q,$$

where Q is an expression involving at most two derivatives of the metric. By parabolic regularity, given the Dirichlet boundary condition $\mathcal{W}|_{\partial M} = 0$ and the validity of the compatibility conditions at $t = 0$ it follows that $\mathcal{W} \in C^{l,l/2}(M \times [0,T])$ as long as $g \in C^{l,l/2}(M \times [0,T])$. ☐

4.3. **Uniqueness.** Let $g_1, g_2 \in W^{2,1}_p(M_T)$ be two solutions to the Ricci-DeTurck boundary value problem (1.2),(1.3) satisfying the same initial and boundary data. Choosing $K > 0$ such that $g_i \in M^T_K(g^0)$, there is a $\hat{\tau} > 0$ such that the map S defined in the proof of the existence Theorem is a contraction map of $M^{\hat{\tau}}_K(g^0)$ to itself, and therefore has a unique fixed point. Since g_1, g_2 are both fixed points, they have to agree on $[0, \hat{\tau}]$. Assuming the data are smooth enough to guarantee that $g_i(t)$ are C^2 for $t > 0$, one can apply the same argument regarding t_0 as initial time. Then, an open-closed argument concludes that $g_1 \equiv g_2$ on $[0,T]$.

The results above finally complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. The boundary value problem for the Ricci flow

Let g^0 be a smooth Riemannian metric on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary M, γ_t be a smooth family of metrics of the boundary, and η a smooth function on $\partial M \times [0, +\infty)$. We assume that they satisfy the zero order compatibility condition (1.1). The aim is to study the existence and regularity of a Ricci flow evolution of g^0 on M, such that the conformal class of the boundary metric is $[\gamma_t]$ and the mean curvature of the boundary is η. The existence will follow by the standard argument of pulling back a solution of the Ricci-DeTurck flow by a family of diffeomorphisms. However the issue of how smooth this family is at the corner $\partial M \times 0$ of the parabolic domain will become relevant, as it may be only C^0 despite being smooth everywhere else. Theorem 1.2 describes how this phenomenon affects the existence and regularity. Before discussing the proof we make some remarks on the regularity of a solution to the Ricci flow $g(t)$ with the boundary conditions under consideration.

As it was shown in subsection (4.2), certain higher order compatibility conditions among the initial and boundary data are necessary for the regularity of the Ricci-DeTurck flow on the corner $\partial M \times 0$.

Naturally, such obstruction to regularity appears in any evolution initial-boundary value problem, and so does for the Ricci flow.

For instance, for the boundary value problem (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), the compatibility conditions (4.15), (4.16), with $h_1 = -2\operatorname{Ric}(g^0)$, are needed for a C^2 or C^3 solution to exist. Notice that these compatibility conditions are exclusively formulated in terms of the Ricci tensor of the initial metric. More generally, differentiating the boundary conditions with respect to time, we get

$$\operatorname{Ric}^T - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_\gamma \operatorname{Ric}^T}{n}\gamma = f\gamma + \frac{\operatorname{tr}_\gamma g^T}{n}\dot{\gamma},$$

so that since $[\gamma] = [g^T]$,

$$\operatorname{Ric}^T - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{g^T} \operatorname{Ric}^T}{n} g^T = f\gamma + \frac{\operatorname{tr}_\gamma g^T}{n}\dot{\gamma}.$$

Also, the mean curvature condition gives,

$$\mathcal{H}'_g(\operatorname{Ric}) = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\eta}.$$

Using the evolution equation of the Ricci tensor under Ricci flow (see for instance [10]), and the contracted second Bianchi identity we observe that Ric satisfies the following boundary value problem

$$\partial_t \operatorname{Ric} = \Delta_L \operatorname{Ric}, \qquad \text{on } M \tag{5.1}$$

where Δ_L is the Lichnerowicz Laplacian, and on ∂M

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ric}^T - \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{g^T} \operatorname{Ric}^T}{n} g^T &= f\gamma + \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{\gamma^T} g^T}{n}\dot{\gamma} \\ \mathcal{H}'_g(\operatorname{Ric}) &= -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\eta} \\ \beta_g(\operatorname{Ric}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Notice that the computation in Theorem 3.1 shows that it satisfies the complementing condition and is parabolic.

Now, since $\partial_t^k g = -2\partial_t^{k-1}\operatorname{Ric}$, it follows that the compatibility conditions on $g(0)$ needed for $g(t) \in C^k(\overline{M_T})$, with $k > 3$, are the same as those for $\operatorname{Ric} \in C^{k-2}$, satisfying (5.1), (5.2). Note also that by the contracted second Bianchi identity the compatibility conditions of any order hold for the last boundary condition.

For example $\operatorname{Ric} \in C^2(\overline{M_T})$ and $g \in C^4(\overline{M_T})$ require an additional compatibility condition between $(\Delta_L \operatorname{Ric})^T$ and $\ddot{\gamma}|_{t=0}$, which, in the simple case that the conformal class stays fixed along the flow, will be

$$\big(\Delta_L \operatorname{Ric}(g^0)\big)^T = \rho g^{0,T}$$

for some function ρ on ∂M.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 1.1, choosing a family of smooth background metrics $\tilde{g}$, there exists a solution $\hat{g}(t)$ to the Ricci-DeTurck boundary value problem (1.2),(1.3), which is in $C^\infty\left(\overline{M_T} - (\partial M \times 0)\right)$ and in $C^{1+\alpha,\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(\overline{M_T})$ if no other higher order compatibility conditions hold.

The DeTurck vector field $\mathcal{W}(\hat{g}(t), \tilde{g})$ is also in $C^\infty(\overline{M_T} - (\partial M \times 0))$.Then, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, the ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\psi &= -\mathcal{W} \circ \psi \\ \psi_\varepsilon &= id_M \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

defines a unique smooth flow ψ_t for $t > 0$, which extends at $t = 0$ continuously up to the boundary, and smoothly in the interior.

Then, $g(t) = \psi_t^* \hat{g}(t)$ solves the Ricci flow equation (see for instance [10]). Moreover, since the diffeomorphisms ψ_t fix the boundary, and the mean curvature and conformal class are invariant under such diffeomorphisms, it follows that $g(t)$ satisfies the boundary conditions (1.5).

Since $\left(\psi_t^{-1}\right)^* g(t) = \hat{g}(t)$ and $\hat{g}(t) \to g^0$ in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ sense as $t \to 0$, we get that $g(t) \to g^0$ in the geometric $C^{1,\alpha}$ sense.

Now, assume that g^0, γ, η satisfy the higher order compatibility conditions necessary for the Ricci tensor to be in $C^k(\overline{M_T})$ and the metric g in $C^{k+2}(\overline{M_T})$ under the Ricci flow. We need similar compatibility conditions to hold for the Ricci-DeTurck flow, in order to improve the regularity of $\hat{g}$. In general we don't expect them to hold for an arbitrary choice of background metrics $\tilde{g}$, so we have to choose them carefully.

As the discusion in subsection (4.2) shows, the time derivatives at $t = 0$ of solutions $g, \hat{g}$ to the Ricci flow and Ricci-DeTurck flow respectively

$$h_k = \partial_t^k g|_{t=0}$$

$$\hat{h}_k = \partial_t^k \hat{g}|_{t=0}$$

are completely specified by the initial data g^0 and the background metrics $\tilde{g}$ in the case of $\hat{h}_k$. Observe that if $\tilde{g}_t$ is chosen so that $\partial_t \tilde{g}|_{t=0} = 0$ and $\partial_t^k \tilde{g}|_{t=0} = h_k$ for $k > 1$, we get

$$\hat{h}_k = h_k. \tag{5.4}$$

To see this, note that $\hat{h}_l$ is determined, through the equation, by $\hat{h}_0, \ldots, \hat{h}_{l-1}$ and $\partial_t^k \tilde{g}|_{t=0}$ for $k < l$. Thus, assuming that (5.4) holds for $k < l$ we get

$$\partial_t^k (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}(\hat{g},\tilde{g})} \hat{g})|_{t=0} = 0, \qquad \text{for } k < l$$

since $\partial_t^k \mathcal{W}|_{t=0} = 0$ for $k < l$. Note that $\partial_t \mathcal{W}|_{t=0} = 0$, by the contracted second Bianchi identity. Then, we compute

$$\hat{h}_l = \partial_t^{l-1}(-2 \operatorname{Ric}(\hat{g}) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}(\hat{g},\tilde{g})} \hat{g})|_{t=0} = \partial_t^{l-1}(-2 \operatorname{Ric}(\hat{g}))|_{t=0} = F(\hat{h}_1, \ldots, \hat{h}_{l-1})$$

for some expression F. On the other hand,

$$h_l = \partial_t^{l-1}(-2 \operatorname{Ric}(g))|_{t=0} = F(h_1, \ldots, h_{l-1})$$

for the same expression F. Hence, (5.4) follows by induction, since $\hat{h}_0 = h_0 = g^0$.

Now, (5.4) implies that higher order compatibility of the data of the Ricci flow boundary value problem imply higher order compatibility of the same order for the Ricci-DeTurck flow.

Theorem 1.1 shows that $\hat{g}(t)$ is actually in $C^{k+2+\alpha, \frac{k+2+\alpha}{2}}(\overline{M_T})$, which immediately implies that $g(t)$ converges to g^0 in the geometric $C^{k+2,\alpha}$ sense.

Moreover, the regularity of ψ in $M \times [0, T]$ is at least C^{k+1}, i.e. it has t time and s space derivatives for $2t + s \leq k + 1$, since $\mathcal{W}$ is of first order on the metric. It follows that $\operatorname{Rm}(g(t)) = \psi_t^*(\operatorname{Rm}(\hat{g}(t)))$ is in $C^k(\overline{M_T})$, and $\operatorname{Rm}(g(0)) = \psi_0^* \operatorname{Rm}(\hat{g}(0))$.

By Proposition (4.1), if $k \geq 1$, the DeTurck field and also ψ is in C^{k+2}, therefore $g(t) \in C^{k+1}(\overline{M_T})$. Otherwise, if $k = 0$, $g(t) \in C^0(\overline{M_T})$ (up to the boundary, at $t = 0$).

The lower bound of the existence time $T > 0$ is a consequence of the corresponding estimate for the Ricci-DeTurck flow, after the observation that the background metrics $\tilde{g}$ can be chosen so that

$$\sup_t \{ ||\tilde{g}(t) - g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)} + ||\partial_t \tilde{g}(t)||_{L_p(M^o)} \} \leq 1.$$

$\square$

Remark 5.1. By parabolic theory, necessary compatibility conditions are also sufficient to get higher regularity of a solution. However, the Ricci flow is not parabolic, and this is manifested by loss of derivatives. On the other hand, the Ricci tensor satisfies a parabolic boundary value problem and, as predicted, the compatibility conditions give the expected smoothness.

Remark 5.2. Setting the initial condition $\psi|_{t=0} = id_M$ in (5.3), we obtain a solution to the Ricci flow satisfying $g(0) = g^0$. However, the diffeomorphisms ψ will have finite degree of regularity up to the boundary, even for $t > 0$, depending on the compatibility of the data. Thus, $g(t)$ will also have finite regularity along $\partial M \times [0, T]$. This is in contrast to the behaviour of solutions to parabolic boundary value problems, which become immediately smooth for $t > 0$, as long as the boundary data are smooth.

The simple example of a rotationaly symmetric Ricci flow on the $n+1$ dimensional ball illustrates the situation. Consider metrics of the form

$$g = \phi^2(r)dr^2 + \psi^2(r)ds_n^2$$

where $0 < r \leq 1$ and ds_n^2 is the standard metric on S^n. Notice that the symmetries imposed fix most of the gauge freedom, allowing only reparametrizations of the radial variable r. Under Ricci flow the evolution equations of ϕ and ψ are (see [9])

$$\partial_t \phi = n\frac{\partial_s^2 \psi}{\psi}\phi \tag{5.5}$$

$$\partial_t \psi = \partial_s^2 \psi - (n-1)\frac{1-(\partial_s \psi)^2}{\psi} \tag{5.6}$$

where $\partial_s = \phi^{-1}\partial_r$.

The diffeomorphism freedom in the r direction is the reason that ϕ does not satisfy a parabolic equation and satisfies a transport-type equation instead. In case the initial and boundary data don't satisfy the first compatibility condition for the mean curvature, ψ will be worse than $C^3(M \times [0, T])$ and the right hand side of (5.5) will be worse than $C^1(M \times [0, T])$. Equation (5.5) doesn't enjoy the smoothing properties of a parabolic equation and, as an ODE in t, we can't expect smooth dependence on the initial data. Thus, low regularity of $g(t)$ at $\partial M \times 0$ can propagate in $\partial M \times \{t > 0\}$.

5.1. **Uniqueness of the Ricci flow.**

We can now use the harmonic map heat flow for manifolds with boundary (see [17]) to establish the uniqueness of $C^3(\overline{M}_T)$ solutions to the Ricci flow boundary value problem under consideration, proving Theorem 1.3. Since the overall argument is standard (see [10]), we will just point out the necessary modifications to treat the case of manifolds with boundary.

Let $g_1(t), g_2(t)$ be two $C^3(\overline{M}_T)$ solutions to the Ricci flow satisfying the same initial and boundary conditions. Consider the following heat equations for maps $\phi_i : (M, g_i) \to (M, g^0)$:

$$\frac{d\phi_i}{dt} = \Delta_{g_i(t), g^0}\phi_i \quad \text{in } M, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\phi|_{\partial M} = id_{\partial M} \quad \text{on } \partial M, \tag{5.8}$$

with initial condition

$$\phi|_{t=0} = id_M. \tag{5.9}$$

For integral $m > 0$ and $p > n+3$ we can define the Sobolev spaces $W_p^{2m,m}(M_\varepsilon, M)$ of maps $f : M \to M$, by requiring the coordinate representations of f with respect to an atlas of M to be in

$W_p^{2m,m}(M_\varepsilon)$. This space consists of the L_p functions on $M_\varepsilon = M \times (0, \varepsilon)$ with the derivatives $\partial_t^r \hat{\nabla}_s$ in $L_p(M_\varepsilon)$ for $2r + s \leq 2m$. The space $W_p^{2m,m}(M_\varepsilon, M)$ does not depend on the atlas used for its definition, as long as $p > n + 3$.

The results in Part IV, section 11 of [17] show that there exist solutions $\phi_i \in W_p^{2,1}(M_\varepsilon, M)$, for small $\varepsilon > 0$. The convexity assumption of this result for the target (M, g^0) is not needed here, since $\phi|_{t=0} = id_M$ and thus $\phi_i(t)$ remain diffeomorphisms of M for small t. Also, by the theory in [19] and [25] these results hold under the current assumption for the regularity of $g_i(t)$.

Moreover, the first order compatibility condition for the boundary value problem (5.7)-(5.9) holds since

$$\frac{d\phi_i}{dt} = \Delta_{g_i(0),g^0}\phi_i(0) = \Delta_{g^0,g^0} id_M = 0.$$

Thus, the diffeomorphisms $\phi_i(t)$ are in $W_p^{4,2}(M_\varepsilon, M)$.

Given the regularity of ϕ_i and g_i we know that $\hat{g}_i = (\phi_i(t))_* g_i(t) \in W_p^{2,1}(M_\varepsilon)$. Then, $\hat{g}_i$ satisfies the Ricci-DeTurck equation with background metric g^0 and the geometric boundary data are still satisfied by $\hat{g}_i$ since ϕ_i fix the boundary. Also notice that the gauge condition

$$\mathcal{W}(\hat{g}_i, g^0)|_{\partial M_T} = 0$$

holds, since

$$\Delta_{g_i(t),g^0}\phi_i(t) = -\mathcal{W}(\hat{g}_i(t), g^0) \circ \phi_i(t) \tag{5.10}$$

and

$$\Delta_{g_i(t),g^0}\phi_i(t)|_{\partial M_T} = 0.$$

By the uniqueness of $W_p^{2,1}$ solutions of the Ricci-DeTurck boundary value problem, we have that $\hat{g}_1(t) = \hat{g}_2(t)$ and $\mathcal{W}(\hat{g}_1(t), g^0) = \mathcal{W}(\hat{g}_2(t), g^0)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$. Now (5.10) and (5.7) imply that $\phi_1 = \phi_2$, thus $g_1 = \phi_1^* \hat{g}_1 = \phi_2^* \hat{g}_2 = g_2$.

This has the following corollary:

Corollary 5.1. *If ϕ is an isometry of g^0 which preserves the boundary data, namely*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*\eta(x,t) &= \eta(x,t) \\ [\phi^*\gamma(x,t)] &= [\gamma(x,t)]\,, \end{aligned}$$

and $g(t)$ is a solution to the corresponding Ricci flow boundary value problem then ϕ is an isometry of $g(t)$ for all t.

Proof. It is a consequence of the diffeomorphism invariance of the Ricci flow equation and the uniqueness. If ϕ is an isometry of g^0 which preserves the boundary data and $g(t)$ is a solution of the Ricci flow boundary value problem then $\phi^*g(t)$ is also a solution with the same initial and boundary data. By uniqueness we obtain that $\phi^*g(t) = g(t)$. □

5.2. **A generalization.** The methods used in the preceding sections can be applied to prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.2, in which the mean curvature at any t depends on the induced metric on ∂M via a given smooth function $\eta(x, t, g^T, (g^T)^{-1})$.

Theorem 5.1. *Theorem 1.2 holds if we replace the boundary condtion for the mean curvature with*

$$\mathcal{H}(g) = \eta\left(x, t, g^T, (g^T)^{-1}\right). \tag{5.11}$$

The existence time T is controlled from below in terms of

$$\max\left\{||g^0||_{W^{2,p}(M^o)}, |g^0|_{1+\epsilon}, |(g^0)^{-1}|_0, |\gamma|_{1+\epsilon,\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}, |\eta|_{C^2}\right\},$$

where $|\eta|_{C^2}$ is a C^2 norm in all the arguments of η.

Proof. Regarding the short-time existence of the Ricci-DeTurck flow, estimates on the line of Corollary A.3 of [28] establish that the estimates of Lemmata 4.1 and 4.2 remain valid when

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{G}_w &= \mathcal{H}'_{g^0}(w-g^0) - (\mathcal{H}(w)-\mathcal{H}(g^0)) + \eta(x,t,w^T,(w^T)^{-1}) - \eta(x,0,g^{0,T},(g^{0,T})^{-1})\\ G_{w_1}-G_{w_2} &= \mathcal{H}'_{g^0}(w_1-w_2) - (\mathcal{H}(w_1)-\mathcal{H}(w_2)) + \eta(x,t,w_1^T,(w_1^T)^{-1}) - \eta(x,0,w_2^{0,T},(w_2^{0,T})^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

with the corresponding constants now controlled by the norm of $\eta(x,t,\cdot,\cdot)$.

The regularity theorems are still valid since the dependence of η on g^T is of zero order. Now, pulling back by the DeTurck diffeomorphisms we obtain a solution to the Ricci flow satisfying (5.11). Finally, the arguments in sections 4 and 5 also establish the uniqueness for the Ricci-DeTurck and the Ricci flow boundary value problem in this case. □

6. An extension condition for the Ricci flow.

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Assume that $T<\infty$ and for some $K>0$

$$\sup_{x\in M}|\operatorname{Rm}(g(t))|_{g(t)} + \sup_{x\in\partial M}|\mathcal{A}(g(t))|_{g(t)} \leq K \tag{6.1}$$

for all $t<T$.

The bound (6.1) implies that $g(t)$ are uniformly equivalent and in addition that g^T have bounded curvature for $t<T$. We show that g^T are actually controlled in $C^{1,\epsilon}$ as $t\to T$. Let $u(x,t)$ be a function such that $g^T = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\gamma$ (when $n\geq 3$). If $R(\gamma)$ and $R(g^T)$ are the scalar curvatures of γ and g^T respectively, it is known that u satisfies an elliptic equation of the form

$$a\Delta u + R(\gamma)u - R(g^T)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0,$$

where $a=a(n)$ and Δ is the Laplacian with respect to the uniformly equivalent and controlled in $C^{1,\epsilon}$ metrics $\gamma(t)$. Now, elliptic regularity and the uniform bounds on $u, R(\gamma), R(g^T)$ imply that u, and hence g^T, is controlled in $C^{1,\epsilon}$. For $n=2$ the situation is similar.

Next, we observe that the interior injectivity radius i_M, the injectivity radius of the boundary $i_{\partial M}$ and the "boundary injectivity radius" i_b are uniformly bounded below for $t<T$. Here we need to clarify that $i_M\geq i_o$ means that for any $p\in M^o$ the exponential map exp_p restricted to a ball of radius $\rho<\min\{i_o, dist(p,\partial M)\}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image while the boundary injectivity radius determines the size of the collar neigbourhood of the boundary in which the normal exponential map is a diffeomorphism.

Since $g(t)$ are uniformly equivalent, for any $p\in M^o$ there exists a $r_o>0$ such that $dist_t(p,\partial M)\geq r_o$ for all $t<T$. This also shows that the volume ratio

$$\frac{Vol_t(B_t(p,r))}{r^{n+1}} \geq c$$

for all $r\leq r_o$ and $t<T$, which together with the curvature bound gives that $inj_M(p)$ is bounded below. A similar argument controls the injectivity radius $i_{\partial M}$ of the boundary.

Moreover, by comparison geometry the bounds on the curvature and the second fundamental form control the "focal" distance of the boundary. Then, since the metrics are uniformly equivalent, the boundary cannot form "self-intersections", hence the boundary injectivity radius i_b is also bounded below.

Now, (6.1) and the discussion above implies that there exist positive constants R_0, i_0, d_0, S_0 such that for all $t \in [0, T)$

$$|\operatorname{Ric}(M, g)|_g \leq R_0, \quad |\operatorname{Ric}(\partial M, g^T)|_{g^T} \leq R_0 \tag{6.2}$$

$$i_M \geq i_0, \quad i_{\partial M} \geq i_0, \quad i_b \geq 2i_0 \tag{6.3}$$

$$|\mathcal{H}(g)|_{Lip(\partial M)} \leq S_0, \quad \operatorname{diam}(M, g) \leq d_0. \tag{6.4}$$

Theorem 3.1 of [4] states that the class of Riemannian manifolds satisfying the bounds above is $C^{1,\epsilon}$-precompact. Thus, there is a sequence $t_j \to T$ and $C^{2,\epsilon}$ diffeomorphisms ϕ_j of M such that the metrics $h_j = \phi_j^* g(t_j)$ converge in $C^{1,\epsilon}$. In addition, the fact that both h_j^T and $g(t_j)^T$ are uniformly bounded in $C^{1,\epsilon}$ implies that $\phi_j|_{\partial M}$ are actually controlled in $C^{2,\epsilon}$.

Next, we show that h_j are uniformly bounded in $W^{2,p}(M^o)$. The bounds above imply that the "harmonic radius" of (M, h_j) is uniformly bounded below. It is also known that in harmonic coordinates the Ricci curvature becomes elliptic. Moreover, in boundary harmonic coordinates (see [4]) the metric components satisfy a boundary value problem of the form (4.19), which is elliptic in the sense of [1]. The mean curvature and conformal class are C^ϵ and $C^{1,\epsilon}$ controlled respectively and the harmonic coordinate functions are controlled in $C^{2,\epsilon}$. Thus, the L^p estimates in Solonnikov [26] provide uniform $W^{2,p}$ control of h_j in these coordinates (in a ball or "half ball" of smaller size). Note that the $W^{2,p}$ estimates up to the boundary of [26] hold under the current regularity assumptions on h_j, γ and η. Finally, since the harmonic coordinate functions $C^{2,\epsilon}$ controlled we obtain the uniform estimate of h_j in $W^{2,p}(M^o)$.

Now, $g(t)$ is a smooth Ricci flow for $t < T$, and therefore $g(t_j)$ satisfy the necessary compatibility conditions of any order. The same is true for h_j since for the Ricci flow these conditions are imposed on the Ricci tensor and are diffeomorphism invariant. Thus, by the short time existence result and the uniform control of h_j, $[\phi_j^*\gamma]$ and $\phi_j^*\eta$, there exist smooth solutions $h_j(t)$ to the Ricci flow boundary value problem with $h_j(t_j) = h_j$, for a uniform amount of time.

By uniqueness, $g(t) = (\phi_j)_* h_j(t)$ for all j and $t \geq t_j$. Therefore, taking j large, the argument above shows that the solution $g(t)$ can be extended past time T. □

Remark 6.1. An examination of the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [4] indicates that Hölder control on the mean curvature is probably enough to obtain precompactness in Hölder spaces. The assumption on the Lipschitz control of the mean curvature could then be removed. However, we will avoid the technical details of this improvement here, since in general the mean curvature η can be assumed to have high degree of regularity.

References

1. S. Agmon, A. Douglis, and L. Nirenberg, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. II*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **17** (1964), 35–92. MR 0162050 (28 #5252)
2. M. T. Anderson, *Boundary value problems for metrics on 3-manifolds*, Metric and Differential Geometry, in Honor of J. Cheeger, Eds. X. Dai and X. Rong, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2012, pp. 3–17.
3. M. T. Anderson and M. A. Khuri, *The static extension problem in General Relativity*, arXiv:0909.4550v2 (2011).
4. Michael Anderson, Atsushi Katsuda, Yaroslav Kurylev, Matti Lassas, and Michael Taylor, *Boundary regularity for the Ricci equation, geometric convergence, and Gel′fand's inverse boundary problem*, Invent. Math. **158** (2004), no. 2, 261–321. MR 2096795 (2005h:53051)

5. Michael T. Anderson, *On boundary value problems for Einstein metrics*, Geom. Topol. **12** (2008), no. 4, 2009–2045. MR 2431014 (2009f:58035)
6. Simon Brendle, *Curvature flows on surfaces with boundary*, Math. Ann. **324** (2002), no. 3, 491–519. MR 1938456 (2003j:53103)
7. ———, *A family of curvature flows on surfaces with boundary*, Math. Z. **241** (2002), no. 4, 829–869. MR 1942242 (2003j:53104)
8. ———, *A generalization of the Yamabe flow for manifolds with boundary*, Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), no. 4, 625–644. MR 1958085 (2003m:53052)
9. Bennett Chow and Dan Knopf, *The Ricci flow: an introduction*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 110, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. MR 2061425 (2005e:53101)
10. Bennett Chow, Peng Lu, and Lei Ni, *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 77, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. MR 2274812 (2008a:53068)
11. J. C. Cortissoz and A. Murcia, *The Ricci flow on surfaces with boundary*, ArXiv e-prints (2012).
12. Jean C. Cortissoz, *Three-manifolds of positive curvature and convex weakly umbilic boundary*, Geom. Dedicata **138** (2009), 83–98. MR 2469989 (2009k:53167)
13. Dennis M. DeTurck, *Deforming metrics in the direction of their Ricci tensors*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 1, 157–162. MR 697987 (85j:53050)
14. S. D. Èĭdel′man, *Parabolic systems*, Translated from the Russian by Scripta Technica, London, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1969. MR 0252806 (40 #6023)
15. G. Giesen and P. M. Topping, *Ricci flow of negatively curved incomplete surfaces*, ArXiv e-prints (2009).
16. ———, *Existence of Ricci flows of incomplete surfaces*, ArXiv e-prints (2010).
17. Richard S. Hamilton, *Harmonic maps of manifolds with boundary*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 471, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975. MR 0482822 (58 #2872)
18. ———, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), no. 2, 255–306. MR 664497 (84a:53050)
19. O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural′ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967. MR 0241822 (39 #3159b)
20. Tong Li, *The Ricci flow on surfaces with boundary*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1993, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, San Diego. MR 2689574
21. A. Pulemotov, *Quasilinear Parabolic Equations and the Ricci Flow on Manifolds with Boundary*, arXiv:1012.2941v3 (2012).
22. Jean-Marc Schlenker, *Einstein manifolds with convex boundaries*, Comment. Math. Helv. **76** (2001), no. 1, 1–28. MR 1819659 (2002b:53068)
23. Ying Shen, *On Ricci deformation of a Riemannian metric on manifold with boundary*, Pacific J. Math. **173** (1996), no. 1, 203–221. MR 1387799 (97a:53058)
24. Wan-Xiong Shi, *Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1989), no. 1, 223–301. MR 1001277 (90i:58202)
25. V. A. Solonnikov, *On boundary value problems for linear parabolic systems of differential equations of general form*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **83** (1965), 3–163. MR 0211083 (35 #1965)
26. ———, *Estimates in L_p of solutions of elliptic and parabolic systems*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **102** (1967), 137–160. MR 0228809 (37 #4388)
27. Peter Topping, *Ricci flow compactness via pseudolocality, and flows with incomplete initial metrics*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **12** (2010), no. 6, 1429–1451. MR 2734348 (2011k:53089)
28. P. Weidemaier, *Local existence for parabolic problems with fully nonlinear boundary condition; an L_p-approach*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **160** (1991), 207–222 (1992). MR 1163209 (94b:35150)

Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794
E-mail address: `pgiannio@math.sunysb.edu`